

GLOBAL SOLUTIONS TO THE ISENTROPIC COMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH A CLASS OF LARGE INITIAL DATA

DAOYUAN FANG, TING ZHANG, RUIZHAO ZI*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the global well-posedness problem of the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq 2$. In order to better reflect the characteristics of the dispersion equation, we make full use of the role of the frequency on the integrability and regularity of the solution, and prove that the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations admit global solutions when the initial data are close to a stable equilibrium in the sense of suitable hybrid Besov norm. As a consequence, the initial velocity with arbitrary $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N-1}{2}}$ norm of potential part $\mathbb{P}^\perp u_0$ and large highly oscillating are allowed in our results. The proof relies heavily on the dispersive estimates for the system of acoustics, and a careful study of the nonlinear terms.

1. INTRODUCTION

The isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations are governed by conservation of mass and conservation of momentum:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 2, \\ \rho(\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla P(\rho) - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ (\rho, u) \rightarrow (\bar{\rho}, 0), & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \\ (\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0). \end{cases}$$

where the unknowns ρ and u are the density and velocity of the fluid, respectively. $P = P(\rho)$ is the pressure, which is a smooth function of ρ . The viscous coefficients μ and λ are assumed to be constants, satisfying the following physical restrictions:

$$(1.2) \quad \mu > 0, \quad 2\mu + N\lambda \geq 0,$$

with $N \geq 2$ the spacial dimension. Clearly, (1.2) implies $\nu := \lambda + 2\mu > 0$, which, together with (1.2) ensures the ellipticity for the Lamé operator $\mu \Delta + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div}$. Moreover, without loss of generality, we assume that $\bar{\rho} = 1$ and

$$(1.3) \quad P'(1) = 1.$$

There are huge literatures on the well-posedness results of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. To the best of our knowledge, the local existence and uniqueness of classical solutions are first established in [33, 35] with ρ_0 bounded away from zero. For the case that the initial density may vanish in open sets, see [12, 34]. The global classical solutions were first obtained by Matsumura and Nishida [32] for initial data (ρ_0, u_0) close to a equilibrium $(\bar{\rho}, 0)$ in $H^3 \times H^3$, $\bar{\rho} > 0$. Later, by exploiting some smoothing effects of the so-called *effective viscous flux* $F := (2\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div} u - P(\rho) + P(\bar{\rho})$, Hoff [24, 25] constructed the global weak solutions with discontinuous initial data. For arbitrary initial data and $\bar{\rho} = 0$, the breakthrough was made by Lions [31], where he proved the global existence of weak solutions provided the specific heat ratio γ is appropriately large, for example, $\gamma \geq 3N/(N+2)$, $N = 2, 3$. Later, Feireisl, Novotný and Petzeltová [21] improved Lions's results to the case $\gamma > \frac{N}{2}$. If the initial data possess some symmetric properties, Jiang and Zhang [27, 28] obtained the global weak solutions for any $\gamma > 1$. Even in the two dimensional case, the uniqueness of weak solutions is still an open problem up to now. For the case of *small energy*, Huang, Li and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 76N10.

Key words and phrases. Compressible Navier-Stokes equations, global well-posedness, large data.

Xin [26] recently established the global existence and uniqueness of classical solutions, which can be regarded as a uniqueness and regularity theory of Lions-Feireisl's weak solutions.

The common point among all these papers above is that they did not use scaling considerations, which can help us to find solution spaces as large as possible. This approach goes back to the pioneering work by Fujita and Kato [22] for the classical incompressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v - \mu \Delta v + \nabla \Pi = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 2, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0. \end{cases}$$

The classical incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, the system (1.4), possesses a structure of scaling invariance. Indeed, if v is a solution of (1.4) on a time interval $[0, T]$ with initial data v_0 , then the vector field v_λ defined by

$$v_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda v(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$$

is also a solution of (1.4) on the time interval $[0, \lambda^{-2}T]$ with the initial data $\lambda v_0(\lambda x)$. There are many works considering the global well-posedness for the classical incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (1.4) in the scaling invariant spaces, like [3, 4, 22, 29, 30] etc. The importance of these results can be illustrated by the following example [4] in three dimensional case: if ϕ is a function in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, let us introduce the family of divergence free vector fields

$$(1.5) \quad \phi_\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \sin\left(\frac{x_3}{\varepsilon}\right) (-\partial_2 \phi, \partial_1 \phi, 0).$$

Then, for small ε , the size of $\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{BMO^{-1}}$ is ε^α . The result in [30] implies that the classical incompressible Navier-Stokes system (1.4) is global well-posed with the initial data $v_0 = \phi_\varepsilon$ for sufficient small ε . If $\operatorname{Supp} \widehat{\phi} \subset B(0, R) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, |\xi| \leq R\}$, then $\operatorname{Supp} \widehat{\phi_\varepsilon} \subset B((0, 0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}), R) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, |\xi - (0, 0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon})| \leq R\}$. Thus, such class of the initial data $v_0 = \phi_\varepsilon$ has a interesting property that in the frequency space, it almost concentrates on the high frequency part. We would like to remark that due to the parabolic property of the system (1.4), the high frequency part of the solution can decay very fast. A natural question which arises is: what will happen when the initial data almost concentrate on the low frequency part?

Inspired by this question, let us come back to the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations (1.1). In this case, the first work following the scaling invariant approach was given by Danchin, see [13], who proved the global well-posedness of strong solutions to (1.1) with initial data (ρ_0, u_0) close to a stable equilibrium in

$$(1.6) \quad \left(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \right) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}.$$

In fact, (1.1) is not really invariant under the transformation

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} (\rho_0, u_0) \rightarrow (\rho_0(lx), lu_0(lx)), \\ (\rho(t, x), u(t, x)) \rightarrow (\rho(l^2 t, lx), lu(l^2 t, lx)), \quad l > 0, \end{cases}$$

unless we neglect the pressure term $P = P(\rho)$. That's why Danchin introduced the hybrid Besov spaces in [13]. Roughly speaking, by careful analysis of behaviors of the following hyperbolic-parabolic system

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t b + \Lambda d = f, \\ \partial_t d - \Delta d - \Lambda b = g, \quad \text{with } \Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}, \end{cases}$$

both in low frequency and high frequency parts, Danchin obtained the L^2 -decay in time for $\rho - \bar{\rho}$ in a L^2 type Besov space, which is the key point to construct global solutions to (1.1). There is an interesting question how to obtain the global well-posedness result with the large initial data in the space (1.6). Inspired by works about the classical incompressible Navier-Stokes system [3, 4], with the aid of Green matrix of (1.8), Charve and Danchin [5], Chen, Miao and Zhang [11] obtained the

global well-posedness result in the critical L^p framework respectively, i.e, the high frequency part of the initial data are small in the following Besov space,

$$b_{0H} \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}, \quad u_{0H} \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}, \quad b_0 = \rho_0 - 1.$$

In this paper,

$$f_L := \sum_{q < 1} f_q, \quad \text{and} \quad f_H := \sum_{q \geq 1} f_q,$$

with $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $f_q := \Delta_q f$. Later, Haspot [23] gave a new proof via the so called *effective velocity*. Similar to the incompressible Navier-Stokes system, the results in [5, 11, 23] imply that the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes system (1.1) is global well-posed with the highly oscillating initial velocity $u_0 = \phi_\varepsilon$ in (1.5) for $N = 3$, small ε and some α . However, in [5, 11, 23], the low frequency part of the initial data are small in the following Besov space,

$$(1.9) \quad b_{0L}, u_{0L} \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}.$$

A natural question which arises is: what will happen when the low frequency part of the initial data are large in (1.9)? Recently, for the large volume viscosity λ , Danchin and Mucha [19] established the global solutions to the two dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) with large initial velocity and almost constant density.

The aim of this paper is to construct global solutions to the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) when the low frequency part of the initial velocity field is large. For example, if $N = 3$, for any fixed $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\hat{\phi}$ supported in a compact set, say, $\text{Supp } \hat{\phi} \subset B(0, 1)$, the initial data can be chosen as

$$(1.10) \quad (\rho_0, u_0) := (1, l^{-\beta} \nabla \phi_l + \tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon),$$

in our result, where

$$\phi_l(x) := \phi(lx),$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad \tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{p}-1} \sin\left(\frac{x_3}{\varepsilon}\right) (-\partial_2 \tilde{\phi}, \partial_1 \tilde{\phi}, 0), \quad \text{for some } \tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{S},$$

with some $0 < l \ll 1, \beta \geq 0, \varepsilon > 0$, and $p > 3$. Please refer to Remark 1.2 for more details.

Since (1.1) is not really invariant under the transformation (1.7), one may guess that the Besov space $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}$ is not a good functional space for the low frequency part of the initial data. By virtue of the low frequency embedding

$$(1.12) \quad \|\phi_L\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_1}} \leq C \|\phi_L\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_2}}, \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_2}, \text{ and } s_1 > s_2,$$

we should consider a class of the initial data that the low frequency part of the initial data $(b_{0L}, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_{0L})$ are small in the Besov space $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}$ but large in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}$. More precisely, we will prove the global existence and uniqueness of solutions to the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes system (1.1) with initial data (ρ_0, u_0) close to a stable equilibrium $(1, 0)$, satisfying $(\rho_0 - 1, u_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$ defined by

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{E}_0 := \left\{ (\phi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}'_h \times \mathcal{S}'_h : (\phi_L, \mathbb{P}^\perp \varphi_L) \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}, \phi_H \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}, \mathbb{P}^\perp \varphi_H \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}, \mathbb{P} \varphi \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1} \right\},$$

with some $\alpha > 0$ and $p > 2$. To simplify the presentation, in the following we denote

$$(1.14) \quad \|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} := \|(b_{0L}, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_{0L})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}} + \|b_{0H}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_{0H}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \|\mathbb{P} u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}}.$$

We shall construct solutions (ρ, u) to system (1.1) with $(\rho - 1, u)$ lying in the spaces below.

Definition 1.1. Let $T > 0$, and $N \geq 2$.

- For $p > 2$, $\alpha > 0$, denote by $\mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{N}{2}, \alpha}(T)$ the space of functions (b, u) such that

$$\begin{aligned} (b_L, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L) &\in \widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha}) \cap \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1}); \\ b_H &\in \widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}), \quad \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H \in \widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1}); \\ \mathbb{P}u &\in \widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1}). \end{aligned}$$

We shall endow the space with the norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(b, u)\|_{\mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{N}{2}, \alpha}(T)} &:= \|(b_L, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha}) \cap \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \\ &\quad + \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} + \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

- For $p = 2$, denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\frac{N}{2}}(T)$ the space of functions (b, u) such that

$$(b_L, u) \in \widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1}), \quad b_H \in \widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}).$$

with

$$\|(b, u)\|_{\mathcal{E}^{\frac{N}{2}}(T)} := \|(b_L, u)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} + \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}.$$

Indeed, $\mathcal{E}^{\frac{N}{2}}(T)$ is nothing but the space introduced by Danchin in [13].

We use the notation $\mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{N}{2}, \alpha}(\mathcal{E}^{\frac{N}{2}})$ if $T = \infty$, changing $[0, T]$ into $[0, \infty)$ in the definition above.

The main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let*

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{cases} 2 < p < 4, & \text{if } N = 2, \\ 2 < p \leq 4, & \text{if } N = 3, \\ 2 < p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}, & \text{if } N \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{N-1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right).$$

Assume that (ρ_0, u_0) satisfies $(\rho_0 - 1, u_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$. Then there exist two constants c_0 and $C_0 > 0$ depending on N, μ and λ , such that if

$$(1.17) \quad \|(\rho_0 - 1, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq c_0,$$

then system (1.1) admits a global solution (ρ, u) with $(\rho - 1, u) \in \mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{N}{2}, \alpha}$, satisfying

$$(1.18) \quad \|(\rho - 1, u)\|_{\mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{N}{2}, \alpha}} \leq C_0 \|(\rho_0 - 1, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}.$$

Furthermore, if

$$N \geq 3, \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbb{P}u_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^0 \text{ when } N = 2,$$

then the solution is unique.

Remark 1.1. For initial data (ρ_0, u_0) with $(\rho_0 - 1, u_0) \in \left(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \right) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}$ with

$$\|(\rho_0 - 1, u_0)\|_{\left(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \right) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} := R,$$

one easily deduces that

$$\|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq C 2^{-Q\alpha} \left(\|P_{<-Q} b_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \|P_{<-Q} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \right) + C \|P_{\geq-Q} b_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +C\|P_{\geq-Q}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} \\
(1.19) \quad & \leq C2^{-Q\alpha}R + C\|P_{\geq-Q}b_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + C\|P_{\geq-Q}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + C\|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_{<-Q}$ and $P_{\geq-Q}$ are defined in (1.44). Therefore, if

$$(1.20) \quad C\|P_{\geq-Q}b_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + C\|P_{\geq-Q}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + C\|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \leq \frac{c_0}{2},$$

with

$$(1.21) \quad Q := \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\alpha} \log_2 \frac{2CR}{c_0} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

then the initial data (ρ_0, u_0) satisfy the condition (1.17).

From Theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.1, we easily obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let*

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{cases} 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{8}, & \text{if } N = 2, \\ 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } N = 3, \\ 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{N-1}{2N}, & \text{if } N \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

There exists a constant c_1 depending on N, μ and λ , such that for all (ρ_0, u_0) with $(\rho_0 - 1, u_0) \in \left(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}\right) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}$, and $Q \in \mathbb{N}$, if

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{-Q\alpha} \left(\|P_{<-Q}(\rho_0 - 1)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \|P_{<-Q}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \right) \\
(1.23) \quad & + \|P_{\geq-Q}(\rho_0 - 1)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|P_{\geq-Q}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \leq c_1,
\end{aligned}$$

then system (1.1) admits a unique solution (ρ, u) with $(\rho - 1, u) \in \mathcal{E}^{\frac{N}{2}}$.

Remark 1.2. We give some examples of large initial data (ρ_0, u_0) with $(\rho_0 - 1, u_0)$ satisfying (1.17) and (1.23). For the sake of simplicity, we take $\rho_0 = 1$. In doing so, we just need to focus on the initial velocity u_0 . More precisely, for any fixed $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\|\nabla\phi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} = R$ and $\hat{\phi}$ supported in a compact set, say, $\text{Supp } \hat{\phi} \subset B(0, 1)$, let us denote

$$\phi_l(x) := \phi(lx).$$

Then

- $\|\nabla\phi_l\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} = \|\nabla\phi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} = R$.
- $\widehat{\nabla\phi_l(\xi)} = l^{1-N}\widehat{\nabla\phi}\left(\frac{\xi}{l}\right)$, and $\text{Supp } \widehat{\nabla\phi_l} \subset B(0, l)$.

Consequently, for all $\beta \in [0, \alpha)$, taking $l > 0$ and $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$(1.24) \quad \begin{cases} l < \frac{3}{4}2^{-Q}, \\ 2^{-\alpha Q}l^{-\beta}R \leq \frac{c_0}{2}, \end{cases}$$

we find that

$$\Delta_q \nabla \phi_l = 0, \quad q \geq -Q,$$

and

$$(1.25) \quad \|l^{-\beta}P_{<-Q}(\nabla\phi_l)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}} \leq \frac{c_0}{2}.$$

Example 1. Let

$$(1.26) \quad (\rho_0, u_0) := (1, l^{-\beta}\nabla\phi_l),$$

with l satisfying (1.24). Then from Remark 1.1 and (1.25), it is not difficult to verify that the data given in (1.26) apply to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. This indicates that our results allow for initial data with large potential part of the initial velocity.

In addition, noticing the smallness restriction in (1.20), initial velocity with highly oscillating is also permitted in Theorem 1.1 as a by-product. For instance, if $N = 3$, the incompressible part of the initial velocity can be given as in [4],

$$(1.27) \quad \tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{p}-1} \sin\left(\frac{x_3}{\varepsilon}\right) (-\partial_2 \tilde{\phi}, \partial_1 \tilde{\phi}, 0), \quad \text{for some } \tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{S},$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$, and $p > 3$. Combining (1.26) with (1.27), we can give another example.

Example 2. Let

$$(1.28) \quad (\rho_0, u_0) := (1, l^{-\beta} \nabla \phi_l + \tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon).$$

Then for $N = 3$, the data in (1.28) are applicable to Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.3. Our results can be extended to the case with the high frequency part (b_{0H}, u_{0H}) of the initial data lying in some L^p - type Besov spaces. We omit the details in this paper to avoid tedious computations.

Remark 1.4. Taking the anisotropy into consideration as in [10] and [36], it is possible to relax the smallness restriction on the divergence free part $\mathbb{P}u_0$ of the initial velocity u_0 . Please refer to [8, 9] for a recent panorama.

Remark 1.5. Our methods can be used to other related models. Similar results for the incompressible viscoelastic fluids will be given in a forthcoming paper.

It is worth pointing out that we impose neither any symmetrical structure on the initial data, nor largeness assumptions on the viscosity coefficients μ or λ . What's more, our results hold for all dimensional $N \geq 2$. Different from [13], our proof relies not only on the energy estimates for the hyperbolic-parabolic system (1.8), but also on the dispersive properties for the following acoustics system:

$$(1.29) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t b + \frac{\Delta d}{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{f}, \\ \partial_t d - \frac{\Delta b}{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{g}. \end{cases}$$

This method was used before to study the zero Mach number limit problem of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations [20, 14, 18]. It seems that the combination of the energy estimates and Strichartz estimates has never been used to study the global well-posedness problem of the viscous compressible fluids. In this paper, we try to apply this idea to the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations.

Let us now explain how to construct our solution spaces and show the ingredients of the proof. First of all, just as in [13], writing $\rho = 1 + b$, and decomposing $u = \mathbb{P}^\perp u + \mathbb{P}u$, where

$$\mathbb{P}^\perp := -\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}^\perp,$$

we reformulate (1.1) as follows:

$$(1.30) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t b + \operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u = -\operatorname{div}(bu), \\ \partial_t \mathbb{P}^\perp u - \nu \Delta \mathbb{P}^\perp u + \nabla b = -\mathbb{P}^\perp (u \cdot \nabla u + K(b) \nabla b + I(b) \mathcal{A}u), \\ (b, \mathbb{P}^\perp u)|_{t=0} = (b_0, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_0), \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.31) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbb{P}u - \mu \Delta \mathbb{P}u = -\mathbb{P} (u \cdot \nabla u + I(b) \mathcal{A}u), \\ \mathbb{P}u|_{t=0} = \mathbb{P}u_0, \end{cases}$$

where $b_0 := \rho_0 - 1$, $I(a) := \frac{a}{1+a}$, and $K(a) := \frac{P'(1+a)}{1+a} - 1$. For the sake of simplicity, ν is assumed to be 1 throughout this paper. Moreover, the condition (1.3) ensures that $K(0) = 0$. Let us denote $d := \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div} u$. From (1.30), one easily deduces that (b, d) solves

$$(1.32) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t b + \Lambda d = -\operatorname{div}(bu), \\ \partial_t d - \Delta d - \Lambda b = -\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u + K(b) \nabla b + I(b) \mathcal{A}u), \\ (b, d)|_{t=0} = (b_0, d_0). \end{cases}$$

Since $\operatorname{curl} \mathbb{P}^\perp = 0$, it is easy to verify that (1.32) is equivalent to (1.30). In the following, we shall use (1.32) to replace (1.30) and do not make a distinction between $\mathbb{P}^\perp u$ and d in the absence of confusion.

Next, in order to show our ideas more clearly, we divide Danchin's arguments in [13] into the following three parts:

- (i): Global estimates for the linearized system of (1.32).
- (ii): Commutator estimates for the convection terms.
- (iii): Product estimates for other nonlinear terms.

Combining (i) with (ii), Danchin established the global estimates for the parilinearized system of (1.32) with $(b_0, d_0) \in \left(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \right) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}$, see Proposition 10.23 in [1], for example. Then substituting the results in (iii) into the estimates obtained in (i) and (ii) yields the global estimates of (b, d) . Our proof follows this line, but aside from part (i), we develop different approaches to deal with parts (ii) and (iii). In particular, the dispersive properties of the system of acoustics (1.29) is taken into consideration. Indeed, for (b_0, d_0) satisfying

$$(1.33) \quad (b_0, d_0) \in \left(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha} \right) \times \left(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha} \right),$$

it has been shown in [14] that some Strichartz norms of (b, d) decay algebraically with respect to the Mach number ϵ . In our case, $\epsilon = 1$, we can not expect any decay with respect to the Mach number. Nevertheless, in the low frequency part, we still gain some decay by means of the low frequency embedding:

$$(1.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_{<-Q}(b, d)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1+\alpha+\frac{1}{r}})} &\lesssim \left(\|P_{<-Q}(b_0, d_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}} + \|P_{<-Q}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \right) \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\alpha Q} \left(\|(b_0, d_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \|P_{<-Q}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(1.35) \quad \alpha > 0, \quad p \geq 2, \quad \frac{2}{r} \leq \min \left\{ 1, (N-1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right\}, \quad (r, p, N) \neq (2, \infty, 3).$$

This is the basic idea underneath our approach, which leads us to believe that it is possible to construct global solutions to (1.1) with large potential part $\mathbb{P}^\perp u_0$ of initial velocity in $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}$.

Motivated by (1.34), we just impose the extra regularity on the low frequency part of (b_0, d_0) . More precisely,

$$(1.36) \quad (b_{0L}, d_{0L}) \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}, \quad (b_{0H}, d_{0H}) \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}.$$

In order to handle parts (ii) and (iii) under the condition (1.36), we need to compensate the loss of critical norms of (b, d) in the low frequency part. To this end, we set

$$r = \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

in (1.34). In this way, $p = 2$ is not permitted in (1.35) any more, otherwise $\alpha = 0$. This explains the condition (1.16) in Theorem 1.1.

On the other hand, the divergence free part $\mathbb{P}u$ of the velocity u satisfies the parabolic system (1.31), and hence possesses no dispersive property at all. Accordingly, it seems that it is reasonable to assume

$$(1.37) \quad \mathbb{P}u_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}.$$

As a result, by the property of heat equation, the space for $\mathbb{P}u$ should be

$$\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1}),$$

and we have to bound the right hand side of (1.31) in $L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})$. Unfortunately, we do not know how to control $\|\mathbb{P}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}u} \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}$ since from (1.34) and the property of heat equation, we just have

$$(1.38) \quad \mathbb{P}^\perp u \in \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha}) \cap \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1+2\alpha}), \quad \text{with } p > 2.$$

To overcome this problem, owing to the fact that $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}^\perp = 0$, we find that

$$(1.39) \quad \mathbb{P}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}u} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u) = [\mathbb{P}, \dot{T}_{(\mathbb{P}u)^k}] \partial_k \mathbb{P}^\perp u.$$

Then the commutator estimate (Lemma 2.99 in [1]) enables us to bound

$$\|[\mathbb{P}, \dot{T}_{(\mathbb{P}u)^k}] \partial_k \mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \lesssim \|\nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-2\alpha})} \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2+2\alpha})},$$

with $p^* := \frac{2p}{p-2}$, provided

$$(1.40) \quad \frac{N}{p^*} - 2\alpha + 1 \leq 1, \quad \text{i.e. } \alpha \geq \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right),$$

which contradicts to (1.35). The above analysis has proved a blind alley if the assumption on $\mathbb{P}u_0$ is given by (1.37). However, if

$$(1.41) \quad \mathbb{P}u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}$$

with p the same as in (1.34), the above method to deal with $\mathbb{P}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}u} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u)$ works since

$$(1.42) \quad \|[\mathbb{P}, \dot{T}_{(\mathbb{P}u)^k}] \partial_k \mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \lesssim \|\nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-2\alpha})} \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2+2\alpha})},$$

holds for all $\alpha > 0$. Combining (1.36) with (1.41), the condition on (b_0, u_0) becomes

$$(1.43) \quad \begin{cases} (b_{0L}, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_{0L}) \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}, \\ b_{0H} \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}, \quad \mathbb{P}^\perp u_{0H} \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}, \\ \mathbb{P}u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}, \quad \text{with } p > 2. \end{cases}$$

This explains the construction of $\mathcal{E}_0$ in (1.13).

The rest part of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the tools (the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and paradifferential calculus) and give some product estimates in Besov spaces. In Section 3, we recall some properties of the system of acoustics, transport and heat equations. Section 4 is devoted to the global a priori estimates of system (1.30)–(1.31). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 5. In Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.2. Some nonlinear estimates needed in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are put in the Appendix in Section 7.

Notation.

(1) For $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, $Q \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $f_q := \dot{\Delta}_q f$, and

$$(1.44) \quad P_{<-Q} f := \sum_{q < -Q} f_q, \quad P_{\geq-Q} f := f - P_{<-Q} f = \sum_{q \geq -Q} f_q.$$

In particular,

$$f_L := \sum_{q < 1} f_q, \quad \text{and} \quad f_H := \sum_{q \geq 1} f_q.$$

(2) Denote $p^* := \frac{2p}{p-2}$, i. e. $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$, for $p \geq 2$.

(3) Throughout the paper, C denotes various “harmless” positive constants, and we sometimes use the notation $A \lesssim B$ as an equivalent to $A \leq CB$. The notation $A \approx B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2. THE FUNCTIONAL TOOL BOX

The results of the present paper rely on the use of a dyadic partition of unity with respect to the Fourier variables, the so-called the *Littlewood-Paley decomposition*. Let us briefly explain how it may be built on $\mathbb{R}^N$, and the readers may see more details in [1, 6]. Let (χ, φ) be a couple of C^∞ functions satisfying

$$\text{Supp } \chi \subset \left\{ |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3} \right\}, \quad \text{Supp } \varphi \subset \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3} \right\},$$

and

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{q \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-q}\xi) = 1,$$

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-q}\xi) = 1, \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0.$$

Set $\varphi_q(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-q}\xi)$, $h_q = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_q)$, and $\tilde{h} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi)$. The dyadic blocks and the low-frequency cutoff operators are defined for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\dot{\Delta}_q u = \varphi(2^{-q}\mathcal{D})u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h_q(y)u(x-y)dy,$$

$$\dot{S}_q u = \chi(2^{-q}\mathcal{D})u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{h}_q(y)u(x-y)dy.$$

Then

$$(2.1) \quad u = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{\Delta}_q u,$$

holds for tempered distributions *modulo polynomials*. As working modulo polynomials is not appropriate for nonlinear problems, we shall restrict our attention to the set $\mathcal{S}'_h$ of tempered distributions u such that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} \|\dot{S}_q u\|_{L^\infty} = 0.$$

Note that (2.1) holds true whenever u is in $\mathcal{S}'_h$ and that one may write

$$\dot{S}_q u = \sum_{p \leq q-1} \dot{\Delta}_p u.$$

Besides, we would like to mention that the Littlewood-Paley decomposition has a nice property of quasi-orthogonality:

$$(2.2) \quad \dot{\Delta}_p \dot{\Delta}_q u \equiv 0 \quad \text{if } |p - q| \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\Delta}_p (\dot{S}_{q-1} u \dot{\Delta}_q u) \equiv 0 \quad \text{if } |p - q| \geq 5.$$

One can now give the definition of homogeneous Besov spaces.

Definition 2.1. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(p, r) \in [1, \infty]^2$, and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we set

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} = \|2^{sq} \dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^p} \|_{\ell^r}.$$

We then define the spaces $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s := \{u \in \mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^N), \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} < \infty\}$.

The following lemma describes the way derivatives act on spectrally localized functions.

Lemma 2.1 (Bernstein's inequalities). *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < r < R$. There exists a constant C depending on r, R and d such that for all $(a, b) \in [1, \infty]^2$, we have for all $\lambda > 0$ and multi-index α*

- If $\text{Supp } \hat{f} \subset B(0, \lambda R)$, then $\sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^b} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^{k+d(\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b})} \|f\|_{L^a}$.
- If $\text{Supp } \hat{f} \subset C(0, \lambda r, \lambda R)$, then $C^{-k-1} \lambda^k \|f\|_{L^a} \leq \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^a} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^k \|f\|_{L^a}$.

Let us now state some classical properties for the Besov spaces.

Proposition 2.1. *For all $s, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2, r, r_1, r_2 \leq \infty$, the following properties hold true:*

- If $p_1 \leq p_2$ and $r_1 \leq r_2$, then $\dot{B}_{p_1, r_1}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p_2, r_2}^{s-\frac{N}{p_1}+\frac{N}{p_2}}$.
- If $s_1 \neq s_2$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $[\dot{B}_{p, r_1}^{s_1}, \dot{B}_{p, r_2}^{s_2}]_{(\theta, r)} = \dot{B}_{p, r}^{\theta s_1 + (1-\theta)s_2}$.
- For any smooth homogeneous of degree $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ function F on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, the operator $F(D)$ maps $\dot{B}_{p, r}^s$ in $\dot{B}_{p, r}^{s-m}$.

Next we recall a few nonlinear estimates in Besov spaces which may be obtained by means of paradifferential calculus. Firstly introduced by J. M. Bony in [2], the paraproduct between f and g is defined by

$$\dot{T}fg = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{S}_{q-1} f \dot{\Delta}_q g,$$

and the remainder is given by

$$\dot{R}(f, g) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\Delta}_q f \dot{\Delta}_q g$$

with

$$\tilde{\Delta}_q f = (\dot{\Delta}_{q-1} + \dot{\Delta}_q + \dot{\Delta}_{q+1})f.$$

We have the following so-called Bony's decomposition:

$$(2.3) \quad fg = \dot{T}fg + \dot{T}_g f + \dot{R}(f, g) = \dot{T}fg + \dot{T}'_g f,$$

where $\dot{T}'_g f := \dot{T}_g f + \dot{R}(f, g)$. The paraproduct $\dot{T}$ and the remainder $\dot{R}$ operators satisfy the following continuous properties.

Proposition 2.2 ([1]). *For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \geq 0$, and $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$, the paraproduct $\dot{T}$ is a bilinear, continuous operator from $\dot{B}_{p_1, 1}^{-\sigma} \times \dot{B}_{p_2, 1}^s$ to $\dot{B}_{p, 1}^{s-\sigma}$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. The remainder $\dot{R}$ is bilinear continuous from $\dot{B}_{p_1, 1}^{s_1} \times \dot{B}_{p_2, 1}^{s_2}$ to $\dot{B}_{p, 1}^{s_1+s_2}$ with $s_1 + s_2 > 0$, and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.*

In view of (2.3), Proposition 2.2 and Bernstein's inequalities, one easily deduces the following product estimates. Please find the proof in Appendix.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\rho, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in [1, \infty]$, $\frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, $\frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$, $s_1 - \frac{N}{p_1} \leq \min\{0, N(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{\rho})\}$, $\sigma_1 - \frac{N}{q_1} \leq \min\{0, N(\frac{1}{q_2} - \frac{1}{\rho})\}$, $s_1 + s_2 > N \max\{0, \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1\}$, $s = s_1 + s_2 + N(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}) = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + N(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{q_2})$, then there holds*

$$(2.4) \quad \|uv\|_{\dot{B}_{\rho, 1}^s} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1, 1}^{s_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2, 1}^{s_2}} + C \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{q_1, 1}^{\sigma_1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{q_2, 1}^{\sigma_2}}.$$

In particular,

$$(2.5) \quad \|uv\|_{\dot{B}_{p, 1}^{r_1+r_2-\frac{N}{p}}} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1, 1}^{r_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2, 1}^{r_2}},$$

where $p \in [1, \infty]$, $r_1, r_2 \leq \frac{N}{p}$ and $r_1 + r_2 > N \max\{0, \frac{2}{p} - 1\}$.

The following Proposition will be used to prove the uniqueness of solutions obtained in Theorem 1.1 for $N = 2$.

Proposition 2.3 ([16]). *Let $p \geq 2$, $s_1 \leq \frac{N}{p}$, $s_2 < \frac{N}{p}$, and $s_1 + s_2 \geq 0$, then*

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{N}{p}}} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{s_2}}.$$

The study of non-stationary PDEs requires spaces of the type $L_T^\rho(X) = L^\rho(0, T; X)$ for appropriate Banach spaces X . In our case, we expect X to be a Besov space, so that it is natural to localize the equations through Littlewood-Paley decomposition. We then get estimates for each dyadic block and perform integration in time. But, in doing so, we obtain the bounds in spaces which are not of the type $L^\rho(0, T; \dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$. That naturally leads to the following definition introduced by Chemin and Lerner in [7].

Definition 2.2. *For $\rho \in [1, +\infty]$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $T \in (0, +\infty)$, we set*

$$\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} = \left\| 2^{qs} \|\dot{\Delta}_q u(t)\|_{L_T^\rho(L^p)} \right\|_{\ell^r}$$

and denote by $\widetilde{L}_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ the subset of distributions $u \in \mathcal{D}'((0, T), \mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with finite $\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)}$ norm. When $T = +\infty$, the index T is omitted. We further denote $\widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s) = C([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,r}^s) \cap \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$.

Remark 2.1. *All the properties of continuity for the paraproduct, remainder, and product remain true for the Chemin-Lerner spaces. The exponent ρ just has to behave according to Hölder's inequality for the time variable.*

Remark 2.2. *The spaces $\widetilde{L}_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ can be linked with the classical space $L_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ via the Minkowski inequality:*

$$\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \leq \|u\|_{L_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \quad \text{if } r \geq \rho, \quad \|u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \geq \|u\|_{L_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \quad \text{if } r \leq \rho.$$

3. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we first recall the estimates for the acoustics system (1.29), which are very useful in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.1 ([14]). *Let (b, v) be a solution of the following system of acoustics:*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t b + \epsilon^{-1} \Lambda v = \mathbf{f}, \\ \partial_t v - \epsilon^{-1} \Lambda b = \mathbf{g}, \\ (b, v)|_{t=0} = (b_0, v_0). \end{cases}$$

Then, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T \in (0, \infty]$, the following estimate holds:

$$(3.2) \quad \|(b, v)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s+N(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})+\frac{1}{r}})} \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}} \|(b_0, v_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s} + C \epsilon^{1+\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p'}} \|(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{p'}(\dot{B}_{\bar{p},1}^{s+N(\frac{1}{p'}-\frac{1}{2})+\frac{1}{\bar{p}'}-1})},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} p &\geq 2, \frac{2}{r} \leq \min(1, \gamma(p)), (r, p, N) \neq (2, \infty, 3), \\ \bar{p} &\geq 2, \frac{2}{\bar{r}} \leq \min(1, \gamma(\bar{p})), (\bar{r}, \bar{p}, N) \neq (2, \infty, 3), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(q) := (N-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, and $\frac{1}{\bar{r}} + \frac{1}{\bar{p}'} = 1$.

Next, we recall the classical estimates in Besov space for the transport and heat equations (Theorem 3.37, [1]).

Proposition 3.2. Let $\sigma \in (-N \min\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p'}\}, 1 + \frac{N}{p})$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq +\infty$, or $\sigma = 1 + \frac{N}{p}$ if $r = 1$. Let v be a smooth vector field such that $\nabla v \in L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{N}{p}} \cap L^\infty)$, $f_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma$ and $g \in L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma)$. There exists a constant C , such that for all solution $f \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma)$ of the equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla f = g, \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0,$$

we have the following a priori estimate

$$(3.3) \quad \|f\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma)} \leq e^{CV(T)} \left(\|f_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma} + \int_0^T e^{-CV(t)} \|g(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma} dt \right),$$

where $V(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\frac{N}{p}} \cap L^\infty} d\tau$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq \rho, p, r \leq +\infty$. Assume that $f_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma$ and $g \in \widetilde{L}_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\sigma-2+\frac{2}{\rho}})$. There exists a constant C , such that for all solution $f \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,r}^{\sigma+2})$ of the equation

$$\partial_t f - v \Delta f = g, \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0,$$

we have the following a priori estimate, for all $\rho \leq \rho_1 \leq +\infty$,

$$(3.4) \quad \nu^{\frac{1}{\rho_1}} \|f\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\rho_1}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\sigma+\frac{2}{\rho_1}})} \leq C \left(\|f_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma} + \nu^{\frac{1}{\rho}-1} \|g\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\sigma-2+\frac{2}{\rho}})} \right).$$

4. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

Before proceeding any further, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} X_L(T) &:= \|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})}, \\ X_H(T) &:= \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})}, \\ Y_\alpha(T) &:= \|(b_L, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})}, \\ W(T) &:= \|\mathbb{P} u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} + \|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})}, \\ X(T) &= X_L(T) + X_H(T) + Y_\alpha(T) + W(T), \\ X_L^0 &:= \|b_{0L}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_{0L}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}}, \quad X_H^0 := \|b_{0H}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_{0H}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W^0 := \|\mathbb{P} u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}}, \quad X^0 := X_L^0 + X_H^0 + W^0.$$

4.1. Nonlinear estimates. Now we estimate the nonlinear terms one by one as follows.

By virtue of the low frequency embedding

$$(4.1) \quad \|P_{<1} \phi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_1}} \leq C \|P_{<1} \phi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_2}}, \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_2}, \text{ and } s_1 > s_2,$$

the high frequency embedding

$$(4.2) \quad \|P_{\geq 1} \phi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_1}} \leq C \|P_{\geq 1} \phi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_2}}, \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_2}, \text{ and } s_1 < s_2,$$

and Corollary 2.1, we can obtain the following lemma, whose proof will be given in Appendix.

Lemma 4.1. Assume $(b, u) \in \mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{N}{p}, \alpha}(T)$ with (p, α) satisfying (1.15)-(1.16), then we have

$$(4.3) \quad \|P_{<1}(b \operatorname{div} u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \leq CX^2(T),$$

$$(4.4) \quad \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b} u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}_u \nabla b)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

Since $\operatorname{div}(bu) = b\operatorname{div}u + \dot{T}'_{\nabla b}u + \dot{T}_u \nabla b$, from Lemma 4.1, we easily get the following Corollary, which will be used to bound $Y_\alpha(T)$.

Corollary 4.1. *Under the conditions in Lemma 4.1, we have*

$$(4.6) \quad \|P_{<1}\operatorname{div}(bu)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

From (4.1)-(4.2), Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we can obtain the following lemma, whose proof will be given in Appendix.

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 4.1, we have*

$$(4.7) \quad \|P_{\geq 1}(b\operatorname{div}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \leq CX^2(T),$$

$$(4.8) \quad \|P_{\geq 1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

From the low frequency embedding (4.1), Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.2, Corollary 2.1, Theorem 2.61 in [1], and the special structure of $\operatorname{div}(I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u)$, we could get the following lemma, whose proof will be given in Appendix.

Lemma 4.3. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 4.1 and*

$$\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

we have

$$(4.9) \quad \|P_{<1}(I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T),$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad \|P_{<1}(\Lambda^{-1}\operatorname{div}(I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(\Lambda^{-1}\operatorname{div}(I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

Similar, using Lemma 7.1 in the Appendix, we could get the following lemma, whose proof will be given in Appendix.

Lemma 4.4. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 4.3, we have*

$$(4.11) \quad \|P_{<1}(K(b)\nabla b)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(K(b)\nabla b)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

In the next two lemmas, we shall estimate the convection term $\Lambda^{-1}\operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u)$. Here, we distinguish the terms with the potential part $\mathbb{P}^\perp u$ from the terms with the divergence free part $\mathbb{P}u$.

Lemma 4.5. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 4.1, we have*

$$(4.12) \quad \|P_{<1}((\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla)\mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}((\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla)\mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T),$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp u} \cdot \nabla d)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(\dot{T}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp u} \cdot \nabla d)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

Proof. From Bony's decomposition, the low frequency embedding (4.1) and the high frequency embedding (4.2), Proposition 2.2, Corollary 2.1 and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_{<1}((\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla)\mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}((\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla)\mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
& \leq C \left(\|\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|\dot{T}'_{\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \right. \\
& \quad + \|\dot{T}'_{\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|\dot{T}'_{\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
& \quad \left. + \|\dot{T}_{\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \right) \\
& \leq C \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha+1})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2\alpha})} \right. \\
& \quad + \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-2})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-2\alpha})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}^2 \right) \\
(4.14) \quad & \leq CX^2(T),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts (7.17) and

$$(4.15) \quad \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2\alpha+1}).$$

Moreover, $\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}^\perp u} \cdot \nabla d$ can be bounded in a similar way. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.5. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 4.1, we get*

$$(4.16) \quad \|P_{<1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T),$$

$$(4.17) \quad \|P_{<1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T),$$

$$(4.18) \quad \|P_{<1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T),$$

and

$$(4.19) \quad \|P_{<1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}u} \cdot \nabla d))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}u} \cdot \nabla d))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, Bony's decomposition, the low frequency embedding (4.1), and Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_{<1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
& \leq C \|\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}u} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + C \|\dot{T}_{\nabla \mathbb{P}u} \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + C \|\partial_k \dot{R}((\mathbb{P}u)^k, \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
& \leq C \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-1})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} + C \|\nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-1})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \\
& \leq C \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} + C \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})}^2 \\
(4.20) \quad & \leq CX^2(T),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact $p^* \geq p$ in the third inequality of (4.20). This explains why we need to assume $p \leq 4$ in (1.15). Next, using $\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}u = 0$ and the fact $u = u_L + u_H$, we can decompose $\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u)$ as follows:

$$\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u) = \Lambda^{-1} \dot{T}_{\partial_i(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)^k} \partial_k (\mathbb{P}u)^i + \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla \mathbb{P}u} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L) + \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u).$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_{<1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \dot{T}_{\partial_i(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)^k} \partial_k(\mathbb{P}u)^i \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
& + \|P_{\geq 1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \dot{T}_{\partial_i(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)^k} \partial_k(\mathbb{P}u)^i \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
& \leq C \| \dot{T}_{\partial_i(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)^k} \partial_k(\mathbb{P}u)^i \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2+\alpha})} \\
& \leq C \| \partial_i(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)^k \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-2+\alpha})} \| \partial_k(\mathbb{P}u)^i \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \\
& \leq C \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\
(4.21) \quad & \leq CX^2(T),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_{<1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla \mathbb{P}u} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L) \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
& + \|P_{\geq 1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla \mathbb{P}u} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L) \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
& \leq C \| \dot{T}'_{\nabla \mathbb{P}u} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
& \leq C \| \nabla \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-2})} \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})} \\
& \leq C \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})} \\
(4.22) \quad & \leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to (4.20) and (7.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_{<1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u) \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u) \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
& \leq C \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} + C \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H \|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
(4.23) \quad & \leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

To bound $\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u$, we need to decompose it as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u = \mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L + \mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H.$$

Then using the high frequency embedding (4.2), one easily deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_{<1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L) \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L) \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
& \leq C \| \dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}u} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + C \| \dot{T}'_{\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L} \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
& \leq C \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1})} \| \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha})} + C \| \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-2+\alpha})} \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\
& \leq C \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})} + C \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\
(4.24) \quad & \leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

In the same manner as (4.20), we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_{<1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H) \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H) \right) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
& \leq C \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} + C \| \mathbb{P}u \|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H \|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(4.25) \quad \leq CX^2(T).$$

Finally, $\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}u} \cdot \nabla d$ can be bounded in the same way as $\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u$. The proof of Lemma 4.6 is completed. $\square$

The next two lemmas will be used to bound the nonlinear terms in the equation of the divergence free part $\mathbb{P}u$ of the velocity (1.31).

Lemma 4.7. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 4.1, we obtain*

$$(4.26) \quad \|\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

Proof. It is not difficult to verify that

$$(4.27) \quad \mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u) + \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u) + \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u).$$

Then using Lemma 2.1 and (2.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} &= \|\operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \otimes \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ &\leq C\|\mathbb{P}u \otimes \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \leq C\|\mathbb{P}u\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})}^2 \leq CX^2(T). \end{aligned}$$

Next, in view of (1.39), using Proposition 2.2, Lemma 2.99 in [1] and $\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}u = 0$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ &\leq C\|[\mathbb{P}, \dot{T}(\mathbb{P}u)^k] \partial_k \mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} + C\|\dot{T}_{\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u} \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} + C\|\dot{R}(\mathbb{P}u, \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-2\alpha})} \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2+2\alpha})} + C\|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-2})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1-2\alpha})} \\ &\quad + C\|\partial_k \dot{R}((\mathbb{P}u)^k, \mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ &\leq C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1-2\alpha})} \\ (4.28) \quad &\leq CX^2(T). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the condition (1.15) on p ensures that $p < 2N$, then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ &\leq C\|\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}^\perp u} \nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} + C\|\dot{T}_{\nabla \mathbb{P}u} \mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} + C\|\dot{R}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u, \nabla \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ &\leq C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \|\nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2\alpha})} + C\|\nabla \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-2\alpha})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \\ &\quad + C\|\dot{R}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u, \nabla \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{\frac{p}{2},1}^{\frac{2N}{p}-1})} \\ &\leq C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1-2\alpha})} \\ (4.29) \quad &\leq CX^2(T). \end{aligned}$$

This explains why we need to assume $p < 4$ if $N = 2$. We complete the proof of Lemma 4.7. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 4.4, we have*

$$(4.30) \quad \|I(b)\mathcal{A}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

Proof. Let us first decompose u as

$$u = \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L + (\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H + \mathbb{P}u).$$

Then using Corollary 2.1 with $u = I(b)$, $v = \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L$, $p_1 = q_2 = 2$, $\rho = p_2 = q_1 = p$, $s_1 = \sigma_2 = \frac{N}{2}$, $s_2 = \sigma_1 = \frac{N}{p} - 1$, and Theorem 2.61 in [1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|I(b)\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|b\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2\alpha})} \\ (4.31) \quad & \leq CX^2(T), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (7.24), (7.27) and (7.28). Similarly, using (7.25), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I(b)\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H + \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|I(b)\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H + \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} + \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \right) \\ (4.32) \quad & \leq CX^2(T). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 4.8 is completed. $\square$

4.2. Estimates of $X(T)$. Using the above lemmas, we could obtain the Dispersive estimates and Energy estimates as follows.

Step (I): Dispersive estimates.

Lemma 4.9. *Let p and α satisfy (1.15) and (1.16), respectively. Assume that (b, u) is a solution to system (1.30)–(1.31) in $\mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{N}{2}, \alpha}(T)$ with*

$$\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then we have

$$(4.33) \quad Y_\alpha(T) \leq CX_L^0 + CX_L(T) + CX^2(T).$$

Proof. First of all, let us cut off the system (1.32) by using the operator $P_{<1}$. Then applying Proposition 3.1 to the resulting system with $\epsilon = 1$, $s = \frac{N}{2} - 1 + \alpha$, $\bar{p} = 2$, $\bar{r} = \infty$, and $r = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\alpha(T) & \leq C \left(\| (b_{0L}, d_{0L}) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}} + \| P_{<1} \operatorname{div}(bu) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \| P_{<1} \Delta d \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \| P_{<1} \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u + K(b) \nabla b + I(b) \mathcal{A}u) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \right) \\ & \leq C \left(X_L^0 + X_L(T) + \| P_{<1} \operatorname{div}(bu) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \| P_{<1} \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u + K(b) \nabla b + I(b) \mathcal{A}u) \|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining Corollary 4.1 with Lemmas 4.3–4.6, we find that the estimate (4.33) holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.9. $\square$

Step (II): Energy estimates.

To begin with, let us localize the system (1.32) as follows:

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t b_q + \dot{S}_{q-1} u \cdot \nabla b_q + \Lambda d_q = \tilde{f}_q, \\ \partial_t d_q + \dot{S}_{q-1} u \cdot \nabla d_q - \Lambda b_q = \tilde{g}_q, \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_q &:= f_q + (\dot{S}_{q-1} u \cdot \nabla b_q - \dot{\Lambda}_q \dot{T}_u \cdot \nabla b), \\ \tilde{g}_q &:= g_q + (\dot{S}_{q-1} u \cdot \nabla d_q - \dot{\Lambda}_q \dot{T}_u \cdot \nabla d), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f &:= -b \operatorname{div} u - \dot{T}'_{\partial_k b} u^k, \\ g &:= \dot{T}_u \cdot \nabla d - \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div} (u \cdot \nabla u + K(b) \nabla b + I(b) \mathcal{A} u). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate the low frequency part $X_L(T)$ and high frequency part $X_H(T)$ separately.

(i) Estimates of $X_L(T)$.

Lemma 4.10. *Under the conditions in Lemma 4.9, we have*

$$(4.35) \quad X_L(T) \leq C X_L^0 + C X^2(T).$$

Proof. Similar to the energy estimates for the isentropic Navier-Stokes equations obtained by Danchin [13], we easily get the following three equalities

$$(4.36) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|b_q\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Lambda d_q | b_q) = \frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div} \dot{S}_{q-1} u |b_q|^2 + (\tilde{f}_q | b_q),$$

$$(4.37) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|d_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla d_q\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Lambda b_q | d_q) = \frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div} \dot{S}_{q-1} u |d_q|^2 + (\tilde{g}_q | d_q),$$

and

$$(4.38) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (d_q | \Lambda b_q) - \|\Lambda b_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda d_q\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta d_q | \Lambda b_q) \\ &= \int \operatorname{div} \dot{S}_{q-1} u \Lambda b_q d_q - ([\Lambda, \dot{S}_{q-1} u \cdot \nabla] b_q | d_q) + (\tilde{g}_q | \Lambda b_q) + (\Lambda \tilde{f}_q | d_q). \end{aligned}$$

A linear combination of (4.36)–(4.38) yields

$$(4.39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|b_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d_q\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{4} (d_q | \Lambda b_q) \right) + \frac{7}{8} \|\Lambda d_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\Lambda b_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8} (\Delta d_q | \Lambda b_q) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div} \dot{S}_{q-1} u (|b_q|^2 + |d_q|^2) - \frac{1}{8} \int \operatorname{div} \dot{S}_{q-1} u \Lambda b_q d_q \\ & \quad + (\tilde{g}_q | d_q - \frac{1}{8} \Lambda b_q) + (\tilde{f}_q | b_q - \frac{1}{8} \Lambda d_q) + \frac{1}{8} ([\Lambda, \dot{S}_{q-1} u \cdot \nabla] b_q | d_q). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $u = u_L + u_H$, it is easy to see that

$$(4.40) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla \dot{S}_{q-1} u\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \left(2^{q(2-2\alpha)} \left(2^{q(2\alpha-2)} \|\nabla \dot{S}_{q-1} u_L\|_{L^\infty} \right) + \|\nabla \dot{S}_{q-1} u_H\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ &\leq C (m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.41) \quad m(u) := \min \left\{ 2^{q(2-2\alpha)} \|\nabla u_L\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}}, \|\nabla u_L\|_{L^\infty} \right\}.$$

Then following the proof of Lemma 2.99 in [1], we have

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|[\Lambda, \dot{S}_{q-1} u \cdot \nabla] b_q\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \dot{S}_{q-1} u\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda b_q\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C (m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) (2^q \|b_q\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 7.5 in [14], we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\dot{S}_{q-1}u \cdot \nabla d_q - \dot{\Delta}_q \dot{T}_u \cdot \nabla d\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq \|\dot{S}_{q-1}u_L \cdot \nabla d_q - \dot{\Delta}_q \dot{T}_{u_L} \cdot \nabla d\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{S}_{q-1}u_H \cdot \nabla d_q - \dot{\Delta}_q \dot{T}_{u_H} \cdot \nabla d\|_{L^2} \\
 (4.43) \quad & \leq C(m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|d_{q'}\|_{L^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.44) \quad \|\dot{S}_{q-1}u \cdot \nabla b_q - \dot{\Delta}_q \dot{T}_u \cdot \nabla b\|_{L^2} \leq C(m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|b_{q'}\|_{L^2}.$$

Then thanks to Bernstein's inequality, we infer from (4.39)–(4.44) that, for $q \leq 0$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|b_q(t)\|_{L^2} + \|d_q(t)\|_{L^2} + 2^{2q}\|b_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} + 2^{2q}\|d_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} \\
 & \leq C\left(\|b_q(0)\|_{L^2} + \|d_q(0)\|_{L^2} + \|f_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} + \|g_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)}\right) \\
 (4.45) \quad & + C \int_0^t (m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} (\|b_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|d_{q'}\|_{L^2}) dt'.
 \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that

$$X_L(T) = \|(b_L, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})},$$

multiplying (4.45) by $2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha)}$, and taking sum with respect to q over $\{\dots, -2, -1, 0\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_L(T) & \leq C \left(X_L^0 + \|P_{<1} f\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{<1} g\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \right) \\
 (4.46) \quad & + C \int_0^T \sum_{q \leq 0} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha)} (m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|(\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b, \dot{\Delta}_{q'} d)\|_{L^2} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we go to bound the right hand side of (4.46). First of all, from Lemmas 4.1, 4.3–4.6, we have

$$(4.47) \quad \|P_{<1} f\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{<1} g\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

The remaining terms of the right hand side of (4.46) can be bounded as follows. In fact, by virtue of Young's inequality, Hölder's inequality and the high frequency embedding (4.2), we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T \sum_{q \leq 0} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha)} \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|(\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b, \dot{\Delta}_{q'} d)\|_{L^2} ds \\
 & \leq \int_0^T \sum_{q \leq 0} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha)} \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|(\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b_L, \dot{\Delta}_{q'} d_L)\|_{L^2} ds \\
 & \quad + \int_0^T \sum_{q \leq 0} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|(\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b_H, \dot{\Delta}_{q'} d_H)\|_{L^2} ds \\
 & \leq C \|\nabla u_H\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} \left(\|(b_L, d_L)\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|d_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \right) \\
 & \leq C \left(\|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} + \|\nabla \mathbb{P} u_H\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} \right) X(T) \\
 & \leq CX^2(T).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using (7.17), and the interpolation

$$(4.48) \quad \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \sum_{q \leq 0} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+1-\alpha)} \|\nabla u_L\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|(\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b_L, \dot{\Delta}_{q'} d_L)\|_{L^2} ds \\
& \leq C \|u_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \|(b_L, d_L)\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-\alpha})} \\
& \leq C \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2\alpha-1})} + \|\mathbb{P} u_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2\alpha-1})} \right) \|(b_L, d_L)\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-\alpha})} \\
& \leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, according to the following interpolations

$$(4.49) \quad \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}),$$

$$(4.50) \quad \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1}),$$

$$(4.51) \quad \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1-\alpha}),$$

the low frequency embedding

$$\|\mathbb{P} u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \leq C \|\mathbb{P} u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1-\alpha})},$$

and the high frequency embedding

$$\|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \leq C \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})},$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \sum_{q \leq 0} \|\nabla u_L\|_{L^\infty} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha)} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|(\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b_H, \dot{\Delta}_{q'} d_H)\|_{L^2} ds \\
& \leq C \|\nabla u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(L^\infty)} \left(\|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|d_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \right) \\
& \leq C \|\nabla u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(L^\infty)} \left(\|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|d_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \right) \\
& \leq C \left(\|\mathbb{P} u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1-\alpha})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} \right) X(T) \\
(4.52) \quad & \leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates with (4.46), we obtain (4.35). The proof of Lemma 4.10 is completed. $\square$

(ii) Estimates of $X_H(T)$.

Lemma 4.11. *Under the conditions in Lemma 4.9, we have*

$$(4.53) \quad X_H(T) \leq CX_H^0 + CX^2(T).$$

Proof. To begin with, let us give the L^2 energy estimate for Λb_q ,

$$(4.54) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda b_q\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Lambda^2 d_q |\Lambda b_q|) = \frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div} \dot{S}_{q-1} u |\Lambda b_q|^2 - ([\Lambda, \dot{S}_{q-1} u \cdot \nabla] b_q |\Lambda b_q|) + (\Lambda \tilde{f}_q |\Lambda b_q|).$$

It follows from (4.37), (4.38) and (4.54) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Lambda b_q\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \|d_q\|_{L^2}^2 - 2(d_q |\Lambda b_q|) \right) + \|\Lambda d_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda b_q\|_{L^2}^2 - 2(d_q |\Lambda b_q|) \\
& = \int \operatorname{div} \dot{S}_{q-1} u \left(\frac{1}{2} |\Lambda b_q|^2 + |d_q|^2 \right) - \int \operatorname{div} \dot{S}_{q-1} u \Lambda b_q d_q
\end{aligned}$$

$$(4.55) \quad +(\tilde{g}_q|2d_q - \Lambda b_q) + (\Lambda \tilde{f}_q|\Lambda b_q - d_q) + ([\Lambda, \dot{S}_{q-1}u \cdot \nabla]b_q|d_q - \Lambda b_q).$$

To exhibit the smoothing effect of u in high frequency case, we need the following L^2 energy estimate for d_q ,

$$(4.56) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|d_q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda d_q\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div} \dot{S}_{q-1}u |d_q|^2 + (\Lambda b_q|d_q) + (\tilde{g}_q|d_q).$$

Using (4.42) and Lemma 7.5 in [14] again yields

$$(4.57) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda (\dot{S}_{q-1}u \cdot \nabla b_q - \dot{\Delta}_q \dot{T}_u \cdot \nabla b)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \|[\Lambda, \dot{S}_{q-1}u \cdot \nabla]b_q\|_{L^2} + C \|\dot{S}_{q-1}u \cdot \nabla \Lambda b_q - \Lambda \dot{\Delta}_q \dot{T}_u \cdot \nabla b\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C(m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} 2^{q'} \|b_{q'}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the advantage of Bernstein's inequality, we infer from (4.40)–(4.43), (4.55) and (4.57) that, for $q \geq 1$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^q \|b_q(t)\|_{L^2} + \|d_q(t)\|_{L^2} + 2^q \|b_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} + \|d_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} \\ & \leq C \left(2^q \|b_q(0)\|_{L^2} + \|d_q(0)\|_{L^2} + 2^q \|f_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} + \|g_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} \right) \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t (m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} (2^{q'} \|b_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|d_{q'}\|_{L^2}) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $q \geq 1$, (4.40), (4.43) and (4.56) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_q(t)\|_{L^2} + 2^{2q} \|d_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} & \leq C \left(\|d_q(0)\|_{L^2} + 2^q \|b_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} + \|g_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} \right) \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t (m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} (2^{q'} \|b_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|d_{q'}\|_{L^2}) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities, we find that, if $q \geq 1$, there holds

$$(4.58) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2^q \|b_q(t)\|_{L^2} + \|d_q(t)\|_{L^2} + 2^q \|b_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} + 2^{2q} \|d_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} \\ & \leq C \left(2^q \|b_q(0)\|_{L^2} + \|d_q(0)\|_{L^2} + 2^q \|f_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} + \|g_q\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} \right) \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t (m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} (2^{q'} \|b_{q'}\|_{L^2} + \|d_{q'}\|_{L^2}) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (4.58) by $2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1)}$, and taking sum with respect to q over $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, we arrive at

$$(4.59) \quad \begin{aligned} X_H(T) & \leq C \left(X_H^0 + \|P_{\geq 1} f\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|P_{\geq 1} g\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \right) \\ & \quad + C \int_0^T \sum_{q \geq 1} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1)} (m(u) + \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty}) \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} (2^{q'} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} d\|_{L^2}) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us bound the right hand side of (4.59). In fact, we infer from Lemmas 4.2, and 4.3–4.6 that

$$(4.60) \quad \|P_{\geq 1} f\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|P_{\geq 1} g\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX^2(T).$$

The estimates of the last term in (4.59) are a little bit trickier. First of all, using Young's inequality, Hölder's inequality, and (7.25) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{q \geq 1} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} (2^{q'} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} d\|_{L^2}) ds \\ & \leq \int_0^T \sum_{q \geq 1} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} (2^{q'} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} d_H\|_{L^2}) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^T \sum_{q \geq 1} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha)} \|\nabla u_H\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} d_L\|_{L^2} ds \\
& \leq C \|\nabla u_H\|_{L_T^1(L^\infty)} \left(\|b\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|d_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|d_H\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \right) \\
& \leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (7.17), the following low frequency embedding

$$\|b_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2-2\alpha})} \leq C \|b_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-\alpha})},$$

and the interpolation (4.48), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \sum_{q \geq 1} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+1-2\alpha)} \|\nabla u_L\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} (2^{q'} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b_L\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} d_L\|_{L^2}) ds \\
& \leq C \|u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \|b_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2-2\alpha})} + C \int_0^T \|\nabla u_L\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}} \sum_{q \geq 1} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+1-\alpha)} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} d_L\|_{L^2} ds \\
& \leq C \|u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \left(\|b_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-\alpha})} + \|d_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-\alpha})} \right) \\
& \leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to (4.52), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \sum_{q \geq 1} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \|\nabla u_L\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} 2^{q'} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} b_H\|_{L^2} ds \\
& \leq C \|\nabla u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(L^\infty)} \|b_H\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
& \leq C \left(\|\mathbb{P} u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1-\alpha})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} \right) X(T) \\
& \leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (4.15) and (4.48) again, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \sum_{q \geq 1} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+1-2\alpha)} \|\nabla u_L\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}} \sum_{|q'-q| \leq 4} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} d_H\|_{L^2} ds \\
& \leq C \|u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \|d_H\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-2\alpha})} \\
& \leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates with (4.59), we obtain (4.53). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.11. $\square$

(iii) Estimates of $W(T)$.

In fact, applying Proposition 3.3 to (1.31), and using Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, we easily get the following estimate for $W(T)$.

Lemma 4.12. *Under the conditions in Lemma 4.9, we have*

$$(4.61) \quad W(T) \leq CW^0 + CX^2(T).$$

Collecting Lemmas 4.9–4.12, we conclude that

Proposition 4.1. *Let p and α satisfy (1.15) and (1.16), respectively. Assume that (b, u) is a solution to system (1.30)–(1.31) in $\mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{N}{2}, \alpha}(T)$ with*

$$\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then we have

$$(4.62) \quad X(T) \leq CX^0 + CX^2(T).$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The aim of this Section is to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5.1. The global existence. First of all, we construct the approximate solutions to that system (1.30)–(1.31) with smoothing initial data. For the sake of simplicity, we just outline it here (for the details, see e.g. [1] and [17]). To begin with, let us recall the following local existence theorem.

Theorem 5.1 ([17]). *Let $N \geq 2$. Assume that $\rho_0 - 1 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}$ and $u_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}$ with ρ_0 bounded away from 0. There exists a positive time T such that system (1.1) has a unique solution (ρ, u) with ρ bounded away from 0,*

$$\rho - 1 \in \widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}), \text{ and } u \in \widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1}).$$

Moreover, the solution (ρ, u) can be continued beyond T if the following three conditions hold:

- (i) *The function $\rho - 1$ belongs to $L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})$,*
- (ii) *the function ρ is bounded away from 0,*
- (iii) $\int_0^T \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau < \infty$.

Remark 5.1. *In addition, we claim that if $\rho_0 - 1 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}$, then $\rho - 1 \in \widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})$. In fact, using Proposition 3.2, and Corollary 2.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} &\leq \exp \left\{ C \|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right\} \left(\|b_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}} + \int_0^T \|(1+b)\operatorname{div} u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|b_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}} + \left(1 + \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|b_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}} + \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \|u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})}^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} T^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

For initial data (ρ_0, u_0) with $(\rho_0 - 1, u_0) =: (b_0, u_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$, by embedding, it is easy to see that

$$(5.1) \quad \|b_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|b_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \leq C \|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}.$$

Before proceeding any further, let us denote by $\tilde{C}$ the maximum of constants 1 and C appearing in Proposition 4.1 and (5.1), and choose (ρ_0, u_0) with (b_0, u_0) so small that

$$(5.2) \quad \|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq \frac{1}{8\tilde{C}^2}.$$

It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that

$$(5.3) \quad \|b_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

Thanks to Proposition 2.27 in [1], we can find a sequence of functions $\{(b_0^n, u_0^n)\} \subset \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ satisfying

$$(5.4) \quad \|(b_0^n - b_0, u_0^n - u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \|b_0^n\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then using Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.1 above, one could obtain a unique local solution (b^n, u^n) to the system (1.30)–(1.31) with smoothing initial data (b_0^n, u_0^n) on the maximal lifespan $[0, T_*^n)$, satisfying

$$(b^n, u^n) \in \left(\widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}) \times \left(\widetilde{C}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1}) \right) \right) \subset \mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{N}{2}, \alpha}(T), \quad \forall T \in (0, T_*^n).$$

Now define T_1^n be the supremum of all time $T' \in [0, T_*^n)$ such that

$$(5.6) \quad X^n(T') \leq 4\tilde{C}\|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X^n(T) = & \| (b_L^n, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^n) \|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})} + \| (b_L^n, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^n) \|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{p}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \\ & + \| b_H^n \|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \| \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H^n \|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} + \| \mathbb{P} u^n \|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.6) with (5.1)–(5.2), one easily deduces that

$$(5.7) \quad \|b^n\|_{L_{T_1^n}^\infty(L^\infty)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then from Proposition 4.1 and (5.4), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} X^n(T_1^n) & \leq \tilde{C}\|(b_0^n, u_0^n)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} + 16\tilde{C}^3\|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^2 \\ & \leq 2\tilde{C}\|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \left(1 + 8\tilde{C}^2\|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \right) \\ (5.8) \quad & \leq 3\tilde{C}\|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \end{aligned}$$

provided the initial data (ρ_0, u_0) satisfy

$$(5.9) \quad \|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq \frac{1}{16\tilde{C}^2}.$$

Thus $T_1^n = T_*^n$, and (5.6) holds true on the interval $[0, T_*^n)$ provided $\|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq c_0$ with $c_0 := \frac{1}{16\tilde{C}^2}$. Consequently, (5.7) holds with T_1^n replaced by T_*^n , and

$$\|b^n\|_{\widetilde{L}_{T_*^n}^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \int_0^{T_*^n} \|\nabla \mathbb{P} u^n\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H^n\|_{L^\infty} dt + \left(\int_0^{T_*^n} \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^n\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} dt \right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} \leq C.$$

Therefore, using Theorem 5.1 again, we conclude that $T_*^n = +\infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds

$$X^n(T) \leq C_0\|(b_0, u_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \quad \forall T > 0,$$

with $C_0 := 4\tilde{C}$. Then, using the compactness arguments similar as that in Chapter 10 of [1], we obtain that $\{(b^n, u^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges (up to a subsequence) to some global solution (b, u) to the system (1.30)–(1.31) with the initial data (b_0, u_0) satisfying (1.17). Thus, we prove the global existence part of Theorem 1.1.

5.2. The uniqueness when $N \geq 3$. Next, we will prove the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.1 when $N \geq 3$. Assume there exist two solutions (b^1, u^1) and (b^2, u^2) for the system (1.30)–(1.31) with the same initial data (b_0, u_0) , satisfying the regularity conditions in Theorem 1.1. In order to show that

these two solutions coincide, we shall give some estimates for $(\delta b, \delta u) = (b^2 - b^1, u^2 - u^1)$. It is easy to verify that $(\delta b, \delta u)$ satisfies the following system

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \delta b + u^2 \cdot \nabla \delta b = -\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u - \delta u \cdot \nabla b^1 - \delta b \operatorname{div} u^2 - b^1 \operatorname{div} \delta u, \\ \partial_t \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u - \Delta \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u + \nabla \delta b \\ \quad = -\mathbb{P}^\perp (u^2 \cdot \nabla u^2 + K(b^2) \nabla b^2 + I(b^2) \mathcal{A} u^2 - u^1 \cdot \nabla u^1 - K(b^1) \nabla b^1 - I(b^1) \mathcal{A} u^1), \\ \partial_t \mathbb{P} \delta u - \mu \Delta \mathbb{P} \delta u = -\mathbb{P} (u^2 \cdot \nabla u^2 + I(b^2) \mathcal{A} u^2 - u^1 \cdot \nabla u^1 - I(b^1) \mathcal{A} u^1), \\ (\delta b, \delta u)|_{t=0} = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

Following the proof of Proposition 3.2, using Corollary 2.1 and Lemma 2.100 in [1], we have

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\delta b(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left(\|\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \|\delta u \cdot \nabla b^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \|\delta b \operatorname{div} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \|b^1 \operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \|\operatorname{div} u^2\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \|[\Delta_q, u^2] \cdot \nabla \delta b\|_{L^2} \right) ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \|b^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \|b^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \|u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1}} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 3.3 to (5.10)₂, we find that

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap \dot{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla \delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp (u^2 \cdot \nabla \delta u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp (\delta u \cdot \nabla u^1)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|K(b^2) \nabla b^2 - K(b^1) \nabla b^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp [I(b^2) \mathcal{A} \delta u]\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp [(I(b^2) - I(b^1)) \mathcal{A} u^1]\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div} (u^2 \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \delta u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \\ & \leq \|\dot{T}_{\nabla u^2} \nabla \mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-3}} + \|\dot{T}_{\nabla \mathbb{P} \delta u} \nabla u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-3}} + \|\dot{R}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u^2, \nabla \mathbb{P} \delta u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\operatorname{div} \dot{R}(\mathbb{P} u^2, \mathbb{P} \delta u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} \|\nabla \mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2}} + C \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \|\nabla \mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2}} + C \|\mathbb{P} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \\ & \leq C \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right) \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} + C \|\mathbb{P} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp (u^2 \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} & \leq \|\dot{T}_{u^2} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\dot{T}_{\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\dot{R}(u^2, \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \\ & \leq C \|u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \|\nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + C \|u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \\ & \leq C \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right) \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\|\mathbb{P}^\perp (u^2 \cdot \nabla \delta u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}}$$

$$(5.13) \quad \leq C \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right) \left(\|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \right) + C \|\mathbb{P} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{P} \delta u \cdot \nabla u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} &\leq \|\dot{T} \mathbb{P} \delta u \cdot \nabla u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\dot{T} \nabla u^1 \mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\operatorname{div} \dot{R}(\mathbb{P} \delta u, u^1)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \\ &\leq C \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}}, \\ \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u \cdot \nabla u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} &\leq \|\dot{T} \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u \cdot \nabla u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\dot{T} \nabla u^1 \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|\dot{R}(\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u, \nabla u^1)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(5.14) \quad \|\delta u \cdot \nabla u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \leq C \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \left(\|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \right).$$

Using Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 2.61 in [1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(b^2) \nabla b^2 - K(b^1) \nabla b^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} &= \|\nabla [\widetilde{K}(b^2) - \widetilde{K}(b^1)]\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \\ &\leq C \|\widetilde{K}(b^2) - \widetilde{K}(b^1)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} = C \left\| \int_0^1 K(b^1 + \tau(b^2 - b^1)) d\tau \delta b \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \\ &\leq C \left\| \int_0^1 K(b^1 + \tau(b^2 - b^1)) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \\ (5.15) \quad &\leq C \|(b^1, b^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{K}(b) = \int_0^b K(s) ds$. Noting that $\mathbb{P}^\perp \mathbb{P} = 0$, in view of Theorem 2.99 in [1] and Corollary 2.1, we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbb{P}^\perp [I(b^2) \mathcal{A} \delta u]\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \\ &\leq \|[\mathbb{P}^\perp, I(b^2)] \mathcal{A} \mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} + \|I(b^2) \mathcal{A} \mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla I(b^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-1}} \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} + C \|I(b^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} + C \|I(b^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \\ (5.16) \quad &\leq C \|b^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using Corollary 2.1 with $u = I(b^2) - I(b^1)$, $v = \mathcal{A} u^1$, $\rho = p_1 = q_2 = 2$, $p_2 = q_1 = p$, and $s_1 = \sigma_2 = \frac{N}{2} - 1$, $s_2 = \sigma_1 = \frac{N}{p} - 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(I(b^2) - I(b^1)) \mathcal{A} u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2}} \\ &\leq C \|I(b^2) - I(b^1)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \|\mathcal{A} u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} \\ &\leq C \left\| \int_0^1 I'(b^1 + \tau(b^2 - b^1)) d\tau \delta b \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1}} \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \left\| \int_0^1 I'(b^1 + \tau(b^2 - b^1)) d\tau - 1 \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.17) \quad \leq C \left(1 + \|(b^1, b^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1}}.$$

The estimates (5.12)–(5.17) imply that

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \left\{ \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \left(1 + \|(b^1, b^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \left(1 + \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1}} \right) \right. \\ & \quad + \left(\|(\mathbb{P}^\perp u^1, \mathbb{P}^\perp u^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|(\mathbb{P} u^1, \mathbb{P} u^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right) \left(\|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \right) \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\|\mathbb{P} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} + \|b^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right) \right\} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using similar arguments as in the proof of (5.18), Corollary 2.1 and the embedding $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2}$ for $p > 2$, we get

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla \delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2}} + \|u^2 \cdot \nabla \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2}} + \|\delta u \cdot \nabla u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|I(b^2) \mathcal{A} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2}} + \|[I(b^2) - I(b^1)] \mathcal{A} u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2}} \right) ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \left\{ \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \left(1 + \|(b^1, b^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \left(1 + \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1}} \right) \right. \\ & \quad + \left(\|(\mathbb{P}^\perp u^1, \mathbb{P}^\perp u^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|(\mathbb{P} u^1, \mathbb{P} u^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right) \left(\|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \right) \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\|\mathbb{P} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} + \|b^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right) \right\} ds. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue the interpolation inequality and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$(5.20) \quad \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \leq C \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})}^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq CX(T) T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq CX^0 T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

and

$$(5.21) \quad \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} \leq C \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq CX(T) T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq CX^0 T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Combining the above estimates, (1.18), (5.11) and (5.18)–(5.19), choosing $T = 1$, we have for all $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\|\delta b\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq C(1 + X^0) \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \right) + CX^0 \|\delta b\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \\ & \leq C(1 + X^0) \int_0^t \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} ds + C(1 + X^0) X_0 \|\delta b\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \end{aligned}$$

$$+CX^0 \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \right).$$

When $CX^0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the above inequalities reduce to

$$(5.22) \quad \|\delta b\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq 2C(1+X^0) \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \right),$$

and

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \\ & \leq 2C(1+X^0)(t+X_0) \|\delta b\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.22) into (5.23), we are led to

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}) \cap L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \\ & \leq 4C^2(1+X^0)^2(t+X_0) \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \right) \\ & \leq (8C^2+2)(t+X_0) \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + \|\mathbb{P} \delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, we conclude that if $X^0 \leq \frac{1}{4(8C^2+2)}$, then $\delta b = \delta u = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T_0]$ with $T_0 := \frac{1}{4(8C^2+2)}$. Repeat this argument on $[T_0, 2T_0], [2T_0, 3T_0], \dots$, we can easily prove that $(b^1, u^1) = (b^2, u^2)$ for all $t \geq 0$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 when $N \geq 3$ is completed.

5.3. The uniqueness when $N = 2$. Finally, we prove the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.1 when $N = 2$. To this end, we give the following lemma with additional assumption on the initial data.

Lemma 5.1. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 and $N = 2$, in addition, if $\mathbb{P}u_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^0$, then for all $T > 0$, we have*

$$(5.25) \quad \mathbb{P}u \in \tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2),$$

with

$$(5.26) \quad \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2)} \leq C\|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + C(X^0)^2(1+T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}).$$

Proof. According to Proposition 3.3, we get

$$(5.27) \quad \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2)} \leq C\|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + C\|\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u + I(b)\mathcal{A}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}.$$

From (4.27), we need to bound $\|\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}$ by the following three terms,

$$(5.28) \quad \|\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \leq \|\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + \|\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + \|\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}.$$

Using the estimate (1.18) and Propositions 2.1–2.2, the above three terms can be estimated as follows,

$$(5.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} = \|\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{P}u \otimes \mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \\ & \leq C\|\mathbb{P}u \otimes \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} \leq C\|\mathbb{P}u \otimes \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{\frac{p}{2},1}^{\frac{4}{p}})} \\ & \leq C\|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}-1})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}+1})} \leq CX^2(T) \leq C(X^0)^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\|\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}u}\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + C\|\dot{T}_{\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u}\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + C\|\dot{R}(\mathbb{P}u, \nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{\frac{2p}{p+2},1}^{\frac{2}{p}})} \\
&\leq C\|\mathbb{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^0)}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + C\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{\frac{2p}{p-2},1}^{-\frac{2}{p}})}\|\mathbb{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}})} \\
&\quad + C\|\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}\|\mathbb{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}})} \\
&\leq CX(T)\left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)}\right) \\
(5.30) \quad &\leq CX^0\left(X^0 + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u \cdot \nabla\mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \\
&\leq C\|\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}^\perp u}\nabla\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + C\|\dot{T}_{\nabla\mathbb{P}^\perp u}\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + C\|\dot{R}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u, \nabla\mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{\frac{2p}{p+2},1}^{\frac{2}{p}})} \\
&\leq C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^p(\dot{B}_{\frac{2p}{p-2},1}^0)}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^0)} + C\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1})}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} \\
&\quad + C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)}\|\nabla\mathbb{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{2}{p}-1})} \\
&\leq CX(T)\left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^p(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{2}{p}})}\right) \\
(5.31) \quad &\leq CX^0\left(X^0 + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^p(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{2}{p}})}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the interpolation inequality, we obtain

$$(5.32) \quad \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} \leq C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}})}^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{2+\alpha})}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq CX(T)T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq CX^0T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

and

$$(5.33) \quad \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^p(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{2}{p}})} \leq C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^\alpha)}^{\frac{2p+\alpha p-2}{2p}}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{2+\alpha})}^{\frac{2-\alpha p}{2p}} T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq CX(T)T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq CX^0T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

The above estimates (5.28)–(5.33) imply that

$$(5.34) \quad \|\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \leq C(X^0)^2(1 + T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}).$$

Next, using (7.30) and Corollary 2.1, one easily deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbb{P}(I(b)\mathcal{A}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \\
&\leq C\|I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + C\|I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \\
&\leq CX^2(T) + C\|I(b)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)}\|\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \\
&\leq C(X^0)^2 + C\|b\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)}\|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2)} \\
(5.35) \quad &\leq CX^0\left(X^0 + \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.27), (5.34) and (5.35), we have

$$(5.36) \quad \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2)} \leq C\|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + C(X^0)^2(1 + T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) + CX^0\|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2)}.$$

Consequently, (5.26) holds if $CX^0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1. $\square$

Now we turn to prove the uniqueness of solutions for $N = 2$ with the additional assumption that $\mathbb{P}u_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^0$. In fact, for any fixed $T > 0$, from (5.20)–(5.21), Lemma 5.1 and (1.18), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u^i\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2) \cap \widetilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} &\leq \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2) \cap \widetilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H^i\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2) \cap \widetilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} + \|\mathbb{P}u^i\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2) \cap \widetilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} \\
 &\leq C \left(X(T)T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + X(T) + \|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + (X^0)^2 (1 + T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \right) \\
 (5.37) \quad &\leq C \left(X^0 + \|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + X^0 (1 + X^0) (1 + T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. On this basis, using Propositions 3.2–3.3, and 2.3, the estimate (1.18), we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\delta b(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} &\leq e^{CV_2(t)} \int_0^t \| -\operatorname{div} \delta u - \delta u \cdot \nabla b^1 - \delta b \operatorname{div} u^2 - b^1 \operatorname{div} \delta u \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} ds \\
 &\leq C e^{CV_2(t)} \int_0^t \left(\|\delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1} + \|\delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} \|b^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} + \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} \|\operatorname{div} u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} \right) ds \\
 (5.38) \quad &\leq C e^{CV_2(t)} \int_0^t \left((1 + X^0) \|\delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} + \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} \|u^2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^2} \right) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

where $V_2(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla u^2(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} d\tau$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\delta u\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0) \cap \widetilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1)} \\
 &\leq \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla \delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}} + \|u^2 \cdot \nabla \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}} + \|\delta u \cdot \nabla u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}} + \|K(b^2) \nabla b^2 - K(b^1) \nabla b^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \|I(b^2) \mathcal{A} \delta u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}} + \|[I(b^2) - I(b^1)] \mathcal{A} u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}} \right) ds \\
 &\leq C \|(u^1, u^2)\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} \|\delta u\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0)} + C \|b^2\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} \|\delta u\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1)} \\
 &\quad + C \left(1 + \|(b^1, b^2)\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} \right) \int_0^t \left(1 + \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^2} \right) \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} ds \\
 &\leq C \|(u^1, u^2)\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} \|\delta u\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0)} + C X^0 \|\delta u\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1)} \\
 (5.39) \quad &+ C (1 + X^0) \int_0^t \left(1 + \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^2} \right) \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|K(b^2) \nabla b^2 - K(b^1) \nabla b^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1}} \\
 &\leq C \left\| \int_0^1 K(b^1 + \tau(b^2 - b^1)) d\tau \delta b \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} \\
 &\leq C \left\| \int_0^1 K(b^1 + \tau(b^2 - b^1)) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} \\
 (5.40) \quad &\leq C \|(b^1, b^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|I(b^2) - I(b^1)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} = C \left\| \int_0^1 I'(b^1 + \tau(b^2 - b^1)) d\tau \delta b \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} \\
 &\leq C \left(1 + \left\| \int_0^1 I'(b^1 + \tau(b^2 - b^1)) d\tau - 1 \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} \right) \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} \\
 (5.41) \quad &\leq C \left(1 + \|(b^1, b^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} \right) \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing X^0 and $t \leq \bar{T}$ so small that the first two terms on the right hand side of (5.39) can be absorbed by the left hand side, then (5.39) reduces to

$$(5.42) \quad \|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0) \cap \tilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1)} \leq C(X^0, \bar{T}) \int_0^t \left(1 + \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^2}\right) \|\delta b\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0} ds,$$

where $C(X^0, \bar{T})$ denotes the various constants depending on X^0 and $\bar{T}$. Thanks to (5.37), applying Gronwall's lemma to (5.38), we find that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$(5.43) \quad \|\delta b\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^0)} \leq C(X^0, \bar{T}) \|\delta u\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)},$$

From Proposition 2.8 in [15], we have

$$(5.44) \quad \|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_s^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^1)} \leq C \|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_s^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1)} \ln \left(e + \frac{\|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_s^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\alpha)} + \|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_s^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{2-\alpha})}}{\|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_s^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1)}} \right).$$

Substituting (5.43)–(5.44) into (5.42), we obtain

$$\|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{N,\infty}^1)} \leq C \int_0^t \left(1 + \|u^1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^2}\right) \|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_s^1(\dot{B}_{N,\infty}^1)} \ln \left(e + V_3(s) \|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_s^1(\dot{B}_{N,\infty}^1)}^{-1} \right) ds,$$

where

$$V_3(t) := \|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\alpha)} + \|\delta u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{2-\alpha})}.$$

For all $t \in [0, \bar{T}]$, by Hölder's inequality and interpolations, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^i\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^\alpha)} &\leq \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^\alpha)} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H^i + \mathbb{P} u^i\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^\alpha)} \\ &\leq C\bar{T} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^\alpha)} + C\bar{T}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H^i + \mathbb{P} u^i\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H^i + \mathbb{P} u^i\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ &\leq C(X^0, \bar{T}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^i\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{2-\alpha})} &\leq \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{2-\alpha})} + \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H^i + \mathbb{P} u^i\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{2-\alpha})} \\ &\leq C \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^\alpha)}^\alpha \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L^i\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^\alpha)}^{1-\alpha} + C\bar{T}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H^i + \mathbb{P} u^i\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H^i + \mathbb{P} u^i\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^2)}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \\ &\leq C(X^0, \bar{T}). \end{aligned}$$

These two inequalities imply that

$$V_3(t) \leq C(X^0, \bar{T}), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \bar{T}].$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 \frac{ds}{s \ln(e + V_3(T)s^{-1})} = +\infty,$$

Osgood's lemma implies that $\delta b = \delta u = 0$ on $[0, \bar{T}]$. Standard arguments then yield that $(b^1, u^1) = (b^2, u^2)$ for all $t \geq 0$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

To simplify the presentation, for $T > 0$, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} Z_L(T) &:= \|(b_L, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})}, \quad Z_L^0 := \|(b_{0L}, \mathbb{P}^\perp u_{0L})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}, \\ H(T) &:= \|\mathbb{P} u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})}, \quad H^0 := \|\mathbb{P} u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}, \\ Z(T) &:= \|(b, u)\|_{\mathcal{E}^{\frac{N}{2}}(T)} = Z_L(T) + X_H(T) + H(T), \quad Z^0 := Z_L^0 + X_H^0 + H^0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. On the one hand, from (1.19) and the embedding

$$(6.1) \quad \|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1}} \leq C\|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}},$$

taking c_1 be any constant not larger than $\frac{c_0}{C}$, we infer that (1.23) implies (1.17). Consequently, in view of Theorem 1.1, there is a solution (ρ, u) to the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1). Moreover, using (1.19) and (6.1) again, (1.18) reduces to

$$(6.2) \quad X(T) \leq CC_0c_1, \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

On the other hand, for the same initial data (ρ_0, u_0) , owing to Theorem 5.1, there exists a unique local solution (ρ^*, u^*) in $\mathcal{E}^{\frac{N}{2}}(T^*)$, where T^* is the maximal existence time of (ρ^*, u^*) . By using the uniqueness of the solution, we conclude that

$$(\rho, u) \equiv (\rho^*, u^*), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T^*].$$

Next, we go to bound $Z(T)$ for $T < T^*$. Since $X_H(T)$ has been estimated in Lemma 4.11, it suffices to dominate $H(T)$ and $Z_L(T)$. To this end, using Proposition 3.3, (7.44) and (7.49) in the Appendix, we easily have

$$(6.3) \quad H(T) \leq H^0 + CX(T)Z(T), \quad \text{for all } T < T^*.$$

To bound $Z_L(T)$, we follow the proof of Lemma 4.10 line by line. Indeed, replacing $\frac{N}{2} - 1 + \alpha$ by $\frac{N}{2} - 1$ in (4.46), and using Lemmas 7.2–7.4 in the Appendix, it is not difficult to verify that

$$(6.4) \quad Z_L(T) \leq Z_L^0 + CX(T)Z(T), \quad \text{for all } T < T^*.$$

Now from (4.53), (6.3), (6.4) and the fact that $X(T) \leq CZ(T)$, we are led to

$$(6.5) \quad Z(T) \leq Z^0 + CX(T)Z(T), \quad \text{for all } T < T^*.$$

Combining (6.2) with (6.5), and choosing c_1 so small that

$$(6.6) \quad C^2C_0c_1 \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

we conclude that

$$(6.7) \quad Z(T) \leq 2Z^0, \quad \text{for all } T < T^*.$$

This implies that the local solution (ρ, u) can be extended to a global one. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed. $\square$

7. APPENDIX

7.1. Proof of Corollary 2.1.

From Propositions 2.1–2.2, using the conditions $s_1 - \frac{N}{p_1} \leq \min\{0, N(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{\rho})\}$, $s = s_1 + s_2 + N(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2})$ and $\frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\dot{T}_u v\|_{\dot{B}_{\rho,1}^s} &\leq C\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p_3,1}^{s-s_2}}\|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{s_2}} \\ &\leq C\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{s_1}}\|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{s_2}}, \quad (\text{when } p_2 \geq \rho), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3}$, and

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\dot{T}_u v\|_{\dot{B}_{\rho,1}^s} &\leq C\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{s-s_2+N(\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{\rho})}}\|v\|_{\dot{B}_{\rho,1}^{s_2-N(\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{\rho})}} \\ &\leq C\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{s_1}}\|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{s_2}}, \quad (\text{when } p_2 < \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, noting that $\sigma_1 - \frac{N}{q_1} \leq \min\{0, N(\frac{1}{q_2} - \frac{1}{\rho})\}$, $s = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + N(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{q_2})$ and $\frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$, we have

$$\|\dot{T}_v u\|_{\dot{B}_{\rho,1}^s} \leq C\|v\|_{\dot{B}_{q_3,1}^{s-\sigma_2}}\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{q_2,1}^{\sigma_2}}$$

$$(7.3) \quad \leq C \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{q_1,1}^{\sigma_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{q_2,1}^{\sigma_2}}, \quad (\text{when } q_2 \geq p),$$

where $\frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_3}$, and

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\dot{T}_v u\|_{\dot{B}_{\rho,1}^s} &\leq C \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{s-\sigma_2+N(\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{\rho})}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\rho,1}^{s_2-N(\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{\rho})}} \\ &\leq C \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{q_1,1}^{\sigma_1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{q_2,1}^{\sigma_2}}, \quad (\text{when } q_2 < \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Next, from Propositions 2.1–2.2, using the conditions $s = s_1 + s_2 + N(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2})$, $s_1 + s_2 > N \max\{0, \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1\}$, and $\frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, we have

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\dot{R}(u, v)\|_{\dot{B}_{\rho,1}^s} &\leq C \|\dot{R}(u, v)\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{p_1 p_2}{p_1+p_2},1}^{s+N(\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{\rho})}} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{s_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{s_2}}, \quad (\text{when } \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \leq 1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\dot{R}(u, v)\|_{\dot{B}_{\rho,1}^s} &\leq C \|\dot{R}(u, v)\|_{\dot{B}_{1,1}^{s+N(1-\frac{1}{\rho})}} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p_4,1}^{s-s_2+N(1-\frac{1}{\rho})}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{s_2}} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{s_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{s_2}}, \quad (\text{when } \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} > 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $1 = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_4}$. Combining (2.3) with (7.1)–(7.6), we have (2.4). Then, we can easily obtain (2.5) and finish the proof of Corollary 2.1. $\square$

7.2. Action of smooth functions. Here we give a variant of Theorem 2.61 in [1], which will be used to deal with the nonlinear term stemming from the pressure $P = P(\rho)$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $r \in [1, \infty]$, $s \geq 0$ and $T > 0$. Assume that $u \in L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})$ with $u_L \in \widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+s})$, $u_H \in \widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})$, and K is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$ which vanishes at 0. Then there hold*

$$(7.7) \quad \|K(u)_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+s})} \leq C(K', \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}) \left(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+s})} + \|u_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right),$$

and

$$(7.8) \quad \|K(u)_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \leq C(K', \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}) \left(\|u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+s})} + \|u_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right).$$

Proof. In order to obtain (7.7), we just need to modify the proof of Theorem 2.61 in [1]. For the convenience of readers, we give some details here. First of all, using Meyer's first linearization method, we rewrite $K(u)$ as

$$(7.9) \quad K(u) = \sum_{q' \in \mathbb{Z}} m_{q'} \dot{\Delta}_{q'} u,$$

where

$$m_{q'} = \int_0^1 K'(\dot{S}_{q'} u + \tau \dot{\Delta}_{q'} u) d\tau.$$

The series in (7.9) converges to $K(u)$ in $L^\infty + L^2$, and $K(u) \in \dot{S}'_h$. In view of (7.9), we have

$$\|K(u)_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^r(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+s})} \leq C \sum_{q < 1} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+s)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q K(u)\|_{L_T^r(L^2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq C \sum_{q < 1} \sum_{q' > q} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+s)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q(m_{q'} \dot{\Delta}_{q'} u)\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \\
& \quad + C \sum_{q < 1} \sum_{q' \leq q} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+s)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q(m_{q'} \dot{\Delta}_{q'} u)\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \\
(7.10) \quad & =: I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder's inequality and the convolution inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 & \leq C \sum_{q < 1} \sum_{q' > q} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+s)} \|m_{q'}\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} u\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \\
& \leq C(K', \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}) \sum_{q < 1} \sum_{q' > q} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+s)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} u\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \\
& \leq C(K', \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}) \left(\sum_{q < 1} \sum_{q' > q} 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+s)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} u_L\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} + \sum_{q < 1} \sum_{q' > q} 2^{q\frac{N}{2}} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} u_H\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \right) \\
(7.11) \quad & \leq C(K', \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}) \left(\|u_L\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+s}} + \|u_H\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 & \leq C \sum_{q < 1} \sum_{q' \leq q} \left(2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+s)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q(m_{q'} \dot{\Delta}_{q'} u_L)\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} + 2^{q\frac{N}{2}} \|\dot{\Delta}_q(m_{q'} \dot{\Delta}_{q'} u_H)\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{q < 1} \sum_{q' \leq q} \left(2^{q(\frac{N}{2}+s-[\frac{N}{2}+s]-1)} \sum_{|\beta|=[\frac{N}{2}+s]+1} \|\partial^\beta \dot{\Delta}_q(m_{q'} \dot{\Delta}_{q'} u_L)\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2^{q(\frac{N}{2}-[\frac{N}{2}]-1)} \sum_{|\beta|=[\frac{N}{2}]+1} \|\partial^\beta \dot{\Delta}_q(m_{q'} \dot{\Delta}_{q'} u_H)\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \right) \\
& \leq C(K', \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}) \sum_{q < 1} \sum_{q' \leq q} \left(2^{(q-q')(\frac{N}{2}+s-[\frac{N}{2}+s]-1)} \left(2^{q'(\frac{N}{2}+s)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} u_L\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2^{(q-q')(\frac{N}{2}-[\frac{N}{2}]-1)} \left(2^{q'\frac{N}{2}} \|\dot{\Delta}_{q'} u_H\|_{L_T^r(L^2)} \right) \right) \\
(7.12) \quad & \leq C(K', \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}) \left(\|u_L\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+s}} + \|u_H\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (7.8) can be given in a similar way. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.1. $\square$

7.3. Nonlinear estimates. Here, we give the detail proofs of Lemmas 4.1 in Section 4.

Proof of Lemma 4.1.

Clearly,

$$b \operatorname{div} u = b \operatorname{div}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp} u = b_L \operatorname{div}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp} u_L + b_L \operatorname{div}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp} u_H + b_H \operatorname{div}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp} u_L + b_H \operatorname{div}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp} u_H.$$

From Corollary 2.1 with $u = b_L$, $v = \operatorname{div}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp} u_L$, $\rho = p_2 = q_2 = 2$, $p_1 = q_1 = p$, $s_1 = \frac{N}{p} + 2\alpha - 1$, $s_2 = \frac{N}{2} - \alpha$, $\sigma_1 = \frac{N}{p} + 2\alpha - 2$, $\sigma_2 = \frac{N}{2} - \alpha + 1$, one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|b_L \operatorname{div}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp} u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
(7.13) \quad & \leq C \|b_L\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1}} \|\operatorname{div}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp} u_L\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha}} + \|\operatorname{div}^{\mathbb{P}^\perp} u_L\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-2}} \|b_L\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 2.1 again with $u = b_L$, $v = \operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H$, $\rho = p_2 = q_2 = p_1 = q_1 = 2$, $s_1 = \sigma_2 = \frac{N}{2} + \alpha - 1$, $s_2 = \sigma_1 = \frac{N}{2}$, we have

$$(7.14) \quad \|b_L \operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \leq C \|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha-1})} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}.$$

Similarly, taking $u = b_H$, $v = \operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L$, $\rho = p_2 = q_2 = p_1 = q_1 = 2$, $s_1 = \sigma_2 = \frac{N}{2}$, $s_2 = \sigma_1 = \frac{N}{2} + \alpha - 1$ in Corollary 2.1 yields

$$(7.15) \quad \|b_H \operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \leq C \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})}.$$

By virtue of the low frequency embedding (4.1) and Corollary 2.1 with $u = b_H$, $v = \operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H$, $\rho = p_2 = q_2 = p_1 = q_1 = 2$, $s_1 = \sigma_2 = \frac{N}{2}$, $s_2 = \sigma_1 = \frac{N}{2} - 1$, we obtain

$$(7.16) \quad \|P_{<1}(b_H \operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \leq C \|b_H \operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq C \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}.$$

Then, it follows from the above estimates, and the fact

$$(7.17) \quad \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha+1}),$$

that the estimate (4.3) holds.

Next, $\|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b} u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})}$ and $\|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_u \nabla b)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})}$ will be bounded as follows. On the one hand, using Proposition 2.2, we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b} \mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\ & \leq \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_L} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_L} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\ & \quad + \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_H} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_H} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_H)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-2})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha+1})} + C \|\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla b_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha})} + C \|\nabla b_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\ (7.18) \quad & \leq CX^2(T). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since (1.15) ensures that $\frac{N}{p^*} - 1 \leq 0$, i.e. $p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}$, thanks to $\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P} u = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b} \mathbb{P} u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\ & \leq \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_L} \mathbb{P} u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + C \|P_{<1}(\partial_k \dot{T}'_{b_H} (\mathbb{P} u)^k)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-2+\alpha})} \|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} + C \|b_H\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-1})} \|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\ & \leq C \|b_L\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} + C \|b_H\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\ & \leq C \left(\|b_L\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|b_H\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right) \|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\ (7.19) \quad & \leq CX^2(T), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.1)-(4.2). Similarly, owing to (7.17) and the following interpolation,

$$(7.20) \quad \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2\alpha-1}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1}),$$

we have

$$\|P_{<1}(\dot{T}'_{\mathbb{P}^\perp u} \cdot \nabla b)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}^\perp u} \cdot \nabla b_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + C\|P_{<1}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P}^\perp u} \cdot \nabla b_H)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2+2\alpha})} \\
&\leq C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})}\|\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha})} + C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})}\|\nabla b_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
&\leq C\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})}\left(\|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-\alpha})} + \|b_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\right) \\
(7.21) \quad &\leq CX^2(T),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|P_{<1}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P} u} \cdot \nabla b)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
&\leq \|P_{<1}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P} u} \cdot \nabla b_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + C\|P_{<1}(\dot{T}_{\mathbb{P} u} \cdot \nabla b_H)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
&\leq C\|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}\|\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha})} + C\|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^2(L^\infty)}\|\nabla b_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
&\leq C\|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})}\|b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})} + C\|\mathbb{P} u\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})}\|b_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
(7.22) \quad &\leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we complete the proof of Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.2.

First of all, using the fact

$$(7.23) \quad \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}}) \subset L_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(L^\infty),$$

and the decomposition $b = b_L + b_H$, we have

$$(7.24) \quad \|b\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \leq \|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \leq CX(T).$$

Moreover, with the aid of the following low frequency embedding

$$\|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \leq C\|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})},$$

we find that

$$(7.25) \quad \|b\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \leq C\left(\|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\right) \leq CX(T).$$

Now using Bony's decomposition, the high frequency embedding (4.2), Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|P_{\geq 1}(b \operatorname{div} u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
&\leq \|P_{\geq 1}(b \operatorname{div} u_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(b \operatorname{div} u_H)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
&\leq C\|P_{\geq 1}(\dot{T}_b \operatorname{div} u_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha})} + C\|\dot{T}'_{\operatorname{div} u_L} b\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + C\|b \operatorname{div} u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
&\leq C\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\|\operatorname{div} u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha})} + C\|b\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\|\operatorname{div} u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
&\quad + C\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\|\operatorname{div} u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
&\leq C\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})} + C\|b\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2\alpha})} \\
&\quad + C\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} \\
(7.26) \quad &\leq CX^2(T),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (7.24)–(7.25), the interpolation

$$(7.27) \quad \widetilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha}) \cap L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha}) \subset \widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2\alpha}),$$

and the following low frequency embedding

$$(7.28) \quad \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} \leq C \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2\alpha})}.$$

Next, noting that $\frac{N}{p^*} \leq 1$, using Proposition 2.2, we find that

$$(7.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|P_{\geq 1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b} u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\ & \leq \|P_{\geq 1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b}(\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H + \mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\ & \leq C\|P_{\geq 1}(\dot{T}'_{\nabla b} \mathbb{P}^\perp u_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha})} + C\|\nabla b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-1})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H + \mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\ & \leq C\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1+\alpha})} + C\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \left(\|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \right) \\ & \leq CX^2(T). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.3.

From the low frequency embedding (4.1), Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 2.61 in [1], we infer that

$$(7.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|P_{<1}(I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + C\|I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|I(b)\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + C\|I(b)\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|b\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+2\alpha})} + C\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathbb{P}^\perp u_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} \\ & \leq CX^2(T), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (7.24)–(7.25), and (7.27)–(7.28). Next, using $\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P}u = 0$, we decompose $\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u)$ as follows:

$$\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(I(b)\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u) = \Lambda^{-1}(\dot{T}_{\nabla I(b)} \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u) + \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{T}_{\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u} I(b)) + \Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{R}(I(b), \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u)).$$

Then according to Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.2, (7.25), and Theorem 2.61 in [1] again, we have

$$(7.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda^{-1}(\dot{T}_{\nabla I(b)} \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|\dot{T}_{\nabla I(b)} \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2})} \\ & \leq C\|\nabla I(b)\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{N}{p^*}-1})} \|\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\ & \leq CX^2(T), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{T}_{\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u} I(b))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\ & \leq C\|\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{\frac{N}{\infty}-1})} \|I(b)\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\
(7.32) \quad &\leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we go to bound the remainder term $\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{R}(I(b), \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u))$. Noting that $\frac{N}{2} - 1 = 0$ for $N = 2$, we can not use Proposition 2.2 directly if $N = 2$. Fortunately, Proposition 2.1 enables us to bound $\|\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{R}(I(b), \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u))\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}$ by

$$\|\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{R}(I(b), \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u))\|_{\dot{B}_{\frac{2p}{p+2},1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\frac{N}{p}-1}}$$

first. Then Proposition 2.2 is applicable, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Lambda^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{R}(I(b), \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u))\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
&\leq C \|\dot{R}(I(b), \mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{\frac{2p}{p+2},1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\
&\leq C \|I(b)\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-1})} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathbb{P}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1})} \\
(7.33) \quad &\leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7.31)–(7.33) and the low frequency embedding (4.1) that (4.10) holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.4.

Using the low frequency embedding (4.1), high frequency embedding (4.2), and the decomposition $b = b_L + b_H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|P_{<1}(K(b)\nabla b)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + \|P_{\geq 1}(K(b)\nabla b)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
&\leq C \|K(b)\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + C \|K(b)\nabla b_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Corollary 2.1, (7.25), and Theorem 2.16 in [1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K(b)\nabla b_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} &\leq C \|K(b)\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\nabla b_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|b_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
(7.34) \quad &\leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining term will be divided into three parts.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|K(b)\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
&\leq C \|\dot{T}_{K(b)}\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + C \|\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_L} K(b)_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} + C \|\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_L} K(b)_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha})} \\
(7.35) \quad &=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
\end{aligned}$$

To bound J_1 , using the interpolation inequality, we infer that

$$(7.36) \quad \|b_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{r_1}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+\alpha})} \leq C \|b_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})}^\theta \|b_L\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-\alpha})}^{1-\theta} \leq CX(T),$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{(2-4\alpha)p}{(4+N-6\alpha)p-2N}, \quad \frac{1}{r_1} = \theta\alpha + (1-\theta)(1-\alpha), \quad \frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1-\theta}{2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} &\leq \|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} + \|b_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \\
&\leq C \left(\|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} + \|b_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{p} + \frac{N}{2} - \frac{N}{p_2}})} \right) \\
&\leq C \left(\|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p_1}^{\frac{N}{p} + 2\alpha - 1})}^{1-\theta} \|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} + 1 - \alpha})}^{\theta} + \|b_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right) \\
(7.37) \quad &\leq CX(T),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r_2} = (1-\theta)\alpha + \theta(1-\alpha), \quad \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

Here, r_1, r_2, p_1 and p_2 satisfy

$$(7.38) \quad \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} = 1, \quad \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_1^*} + \frac{1}{p}, \quad 2 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq p.$$

Thus, using Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.61 in [1] and (7.36)–(7.38), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\leq C \|K(b)\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_2}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^0)} \|\nabla b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_1}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{\frac{N}{2} - 1 + \alpha})} \\
&\leq C \|K(b)\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_1}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{\frac{N}{2} + \alpha})} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{r_1}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{\frac{N}{2} + \alpha})} \\
(7.39) \quad &\leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

As for J_2 , according to (7.24), (7.27), the following high frequency embedding

$$\|K(b)_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} - \alpha})} \leq C \|K(b)_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})},$$

and Theorem 2.61 in [1] again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq C \|\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_L} K(b)_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} - 1 + \alpha})} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \|K(b)_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} - \alpha})} \\
&\leq C \|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} + 2\alpha})} \|K(b)_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
&\leq C \|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} + 2\alpha})} \|b\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
(7.40) \quad &\leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, in view of Lemma 7.1 in the Appendix, we have

$$(7.41) \quad \|K(b)_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} + 1 - \alpha})} \leq C \left(\|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} + 1 - \alpha})} + \|b_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right).$$

Therefore, using Proposition 2.2 again, one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &\leq C \|\nabla b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-2})} \|K(b)_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} + 1 - \alpha})} \\
&\leq C \|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p_1}^{\frac{N}{p} + 2\alpha - 1})} \left(\|b_L\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2} + 1 - \alpha})} + \|b_H\|_{\dot{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right) \\
(7.42) \quad &\leq CX^2(T).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 4.4 is completed. $\square$

7.4. Some nonlinear estimates in $L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})$. In next three lemmas, we shall bound $\|(f, g)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}$ in terms of $X(T)Z(T)$, where (f, g) are the nonlinear terms on the right hand side of (4.34).

Lemma 7.2. *Let p and α satisfy (1.15) and (1.16), respectively. Assume $(b, u) \in \mathcal{E}^{\frac{N}{2}}(T)$, then we have*

$$(7.43) \quad \|b \operatorname{div} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + \|\dot{T}'_{\nabla b} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX(T)Z(T),$$

and

$$(7.44) \quad \|(u \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + \|\dot{T}'_u \cdot \nabla d\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX(T)Z(T).$$

Proof. To begin with, we give the estimate of $\|b\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})}$. In fact, using the high frequency embedding

$$\|b_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \leq C\|b_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \leq C\|b_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})},$$

and the decomposition $b = b_L + b_H$, one deduces that

$$(7.45) \quad \|b\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \leq C \left(\|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} + \|b_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right) \leq CX(T).$$

Now if $N \geq 3$, using Proposition 2.2, (7.20), (4.15), and (7.45) we have

$$(7.46) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|b \operatorname{div} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\ & \leq C \left(\|\dot{T}'_b \operatorname{div} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + \|\dot{T}'_{\operatorname{div} u} b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + \|\dot{T}'_{\operatorname{div} u} b_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\|b\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2\alpha})} + \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-2})} \|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2\alpha+1})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \|b_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\|b\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-1})} \|u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2\alpha+1})} + \|u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2\alpha+1})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|u\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|b_H\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right) \\ & \leq CX(T)Z(T), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(7.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\dot{T}'_{\nabla b} u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} & \leq C \|\nabla b\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-2})} \|u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2\alpha+1})} \\ & \leq CX(T)Z(T). \end{aligned}$$

If $N = 2$, we just need to reestimate $\|\dot{R}(\operatorname{div} u, b_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}$ and $\|\dot{R}(\nabla b, u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}$. Indeed, they can be treated in the same way as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\dot{R}(\operatorname{div} u, b_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} + \|\dot{R}(\nabla b, u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\ & \leq C \|\dot{R}(\operatorname{div} u, b_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{2N}{p}-1})} + \|\dot{R}(\nabla b, u)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{2N}{p}-1})} \\ & \leq C \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-2})} \|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2\alpha+1})} + C \|\nabla b\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-2})} \|u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-2\alpha+1})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \|u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2\alpha+1})} + C \|b\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})} \|u\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-2\alpha+1})} \\
(7.48) \quad &\leq CX(T)Z(T).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7.46)–(7.48) that (7.43) holds. (7.44) can be obtained similarly since b and u lie in the same space $\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})$. The proof of Lemma 7.2 is completed. $\square$

Lemma 7.3. *Under the conditions of Lemma 7.2, we have*

$$(7.49) \quad \|I(b)\mathcal{A}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX(T)Z(T).$$

Proof. Using Corollary 2.1, Lemma 2.1, and (7.25), we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I(b)\mathcal{A}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} &\leq C \|I(b)\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|\mathcal{A}u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \|u\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} \\
&\leq CX(T)Z(T).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 7.3. $\square$

Finally, we estimate $\|K(b)\nabla b\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}$ in a similar manner as Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 7.4. *Under the conditions of Lemma 7.2, we have*

$$(7.50) \quad \|K(b)\nabla b\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq CX(T)Z(T).$$

Proof. First of all, we can use (7.34) to bound $\|K(b)\nabla b_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}$. In order to bound $\|\dot{T}_{K(b)}\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}$, using the interpolation inequality, we get

$$\|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\bar{r}_1}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \leq C \|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})}^{\bar{\theta}} \|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-2\alpha})}^{1-\bar{\theta}} \leq CX^{\bar{\theta}}(T)Z^{1-\bar{\theta}}(T),$$

where

$$\bar{\theta} = \frac{(2-4\alpha)p}{(4+N-8\alpha)p-2N}, \quad \frac{1}{\bar{r}_1} = \bar{\theta}\alpha + (1-\bar{\theta})(1-\alpha), \quad \frac{1}{\bar{p}_1} = \frac{\bar{\theta}}{p} + \frac{1-\bar{\theta}}{2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\bar{r}_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} &\leq \|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\bar{r}_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} + \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\bar{r}_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} \\
&\leq C \left(\|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\bar{r}_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})} + \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\bar{r}_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}-\frac{N}{p_2}+\frac{N}{2}})} \right) \\
&\leq C \left(\|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})}^{1-\bar{\theta}} \|b_L\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-2\alpha})}^{\bar{\theta}} + \|b_H\|_{\widetilde{L}_T^{\bar{r}_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \right) \\
&\leq CX^{1-\bar{\theta}}(T)Z^{\bar{\theta}}(T),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\bar{r}_2} = (1-\bar{\theta})\alpha + \bar{\theta}(1-\alpha), \quad \frac{1}{\bar{p}_2} = \frac{1-\bar{\theta}}{p} + \frac{\bar{\theta}}{2}.$$

Here

$$\frac{1}{\bar{r}_1} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}_2} = 1, \quad \frac{1}{\bar{p}_2} = \frac{1}{\bar{p}_1} + \frac{1}{p}, \quad 2 \leq \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2 \leq p.$$

Then similar to (7.39), we find that

$$\|\dot{T}_{K(b)}\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} \leq C \|K(b)\|_{L_T^{\bar{r}_2}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^0)} \|\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^{\bar{r}_1}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\|K(b)\|_{L_T^{\bar{r}_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})}\|b_L\|_{L_T^{\bar{r}_1}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
&\leq C\|b\|_{L_T^{\bar{r}_2}(\dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{\frac{N}{p}})}\|b_L\|_{L_T^{\bar{r}_1}(\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
(7.51) \quad &\leq CX(T)Z(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, similar to (7.42), if $N \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_L} K(b)_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} &\leq C\|\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{2\alpha-2})}\|K(b)_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-2\alpha})} \\
&\leq C\|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})}\left(\|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-2\alpha})} + \|b_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\right) \\
(7.52) \quad &\leq CX(T)Z(T).
\end{aligned}$$

If $N = 2$, the remainder $\dot{R}(\nabla b_L, K(b)_L)$ should be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{R}(\nabla b_L, K(b)_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} &\leq C\|\dot{R}(\nabla b_L, K(b)_L)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{2N}{p}-1})} \\
&\leq C\|\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-2})}\|K(b)_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+1-2\alpha})} \\
&\leq C\|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{N}{p}+2\alpha-1})}\left(\|b_L\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1-2\alpha})} + \|b_H\|_{L_T^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\right) \\
(7.53) \quad &\leq CX(T)Z(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, thanks to Lemma 7.1 in the Appendix, we have

$$(7.54) \quad \|K(b)_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \leq C\left(\|b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} + \|b_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\right).$$

Then using Proposition 2.2 and (7.25), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{T}'_{\nabla b_L} K(b)_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})} &\leq C\|\nabla b_L\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}-1})}\|K(b)_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
&\leq C\|b_L\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\left(\|b_L\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} + \|b_H\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{N}{2}})}\right) \\
(7.55) \quad &\leq CX(T)Z(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (7.34) with the above estimates yields (7.50). We complete the proof of Lemma 7.4. $\square$

Acknowledgments

Research supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project 2014M552065, and National Natural Science Foundation of China 11401237, 11671353, 11271017 and 11331005, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities CCNU15A05041, CCNU16A02011. Part of this work was carried out when the third author was visiting the Department of Mathematics of Zhejiang University.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bahouri, J. Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, “Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations”. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] J. M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour équations aux dérivées partielles nonlinéaires. *Annales Scientifiques de l’école Normale Supérieure* **14**(1981), 209–246.
- [3] M. Cannone, Y. Meyer, and F. Planchon, Solutions autosimilaires des équations de Navier-Stokes. “Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles” de l’École Polytechnique, Exposé VIII, 1993–1994.
- [4] M. Cannone, A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **13**(1997), 515–541.

- [5] F. Charve, R. Danchin, A global existence result for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the critical L^p framework. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **198**(2010), 233–271.
- [6] J. Y. Chemin, “Localization in Fourier space and Navier-Stokes system, Phase Space Analysis of Partial Differential Equations”. Proceedings 2004, CRM series, Pisa 2004, 53–136.
- [7] J. Y. Chemin, N. Lerner Flot de champs de vecteurs non Lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes. *J. Differential Equations*, **121**(1995), 314–228.
- [8] J.-Y. Chemin, I. Gallagher, Large, global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations slowly varying in one direction, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **362**(2010), 2859–2873.
- [9] J.-Y. Chemin, I. Gallagher, M. Paicu, Global regularity for some classes of large solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Ann. of Math.*, **173** (2011), 983–1012.
- [10] J.-Y. Chemin, P. Zhang, On the global wellposedness of the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **272**(2007), 529–566.
- [11] Q. L. Chen, C. X. Miao, Z. F. Zhang, Global well-posedness for compressible Navier-Stokes equations with highly oscillating initial velocity. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **63**(2010), 1173–1224.
- [12] Y. Cho, H. J. Choe, and H. Kim, Unique solvability of the initial boundary value problems for compressible viscous fluid. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **83**(2004), 243–275.
- [13] R. Danchin, Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.*, **141**(2000), 579–614.
- [14] R. Danchin, Zero mach number limit in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Ann. Scitent. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, **35**(2002), 27–75.
- [15] R. Danchin, Density-dependent incompressible viscous fluids in critical spaces. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **133**(2003), 1311–1334.
- [16] R. Danchin, On the uniqueness in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **12**(2005), 111–128.
- [17] R. Danchin, Well-posedness in critical spaces for barotropic viscous fluids with truly not constant density. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **32**(2007), 1373–1397.
- [18] R. Danchin, L. B. He, The incompressible limit in L^p type critical spaces. arXiv:1409.7323.
- [19] R. Danchin, P. B. Mucha, Compressible Navier-Stokes system: large solutions and incompressible limit. arXiv:1603.07213.
- [20] B. Dejdardins and E. Grenier, Low Mach number limit of compressible flows in the whole space. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, **455**(1999), 2271–2279.
- [21] E. Feireisl, A. Novotný, H. Petzeltová, On the existence of globally defined weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **3**(2001), 358–392.
- [22] H. Fujita and T. Kato, On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **16**(1964), 269–315.
- [23] B. Haspot, Existence of global strong solutions in critical spaces for barotropic viscous fluids. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **202**(2011), 427–460.
- [24] D. Hoff, Global solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for multidimensional compressible flow with discontinuous initial data. *J. Differential Equations*, **120**(1995), 215–254.
- [25] D. Hoff, Strong convergence to global solutions for multidimensional flows of compressible, viscous fluids with polytropic equations of state and discontinuous initial data. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **132**(1995), 1–14.
- [26] X. D. Huang, J. Li, and Z. P. Xin, Global well-posedness of classical solutions with large oscillations and vacuum to the three-dimensional isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **65**(2012), 549–585.
- [27] S. Jiang and P. Zhang, On spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **215**(2001), 559–581.
- [28] S. Jiang and P. Zhang, Axisymmetric solutions of the 3-D Navier-Stokes equations for compressible isentropic flows. *J. Math. Pure Appl.*, **82**(2003), 949–973.
- [29] T. Kato, Strong L^p solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with applications to weak solutions. *Math. Z.*, **187**(1984), 471–480.
- [30] H. Koch, D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations. *Adv. Math.*, **157**(2001), 22–35.
- [31] P. L. Lions, “Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics”. Vol. 2. Compressible models. Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [32] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **20**(1980), 67–104.
- [33] J. Nash, Le problème de Cauchy pour les équations différentielles d’un fluide général. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.*, **90** (1962), 487–497.
- [34] R. Salvi, I. Straskraba, Global existence for viscous compressible fluids and their behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA. Math.*, **40**(1993), 17–51.
- [35] J. Serrin, On the uniqueness of compressible fluid motion. *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.*, **3**(1959), 271–288.

- [36] T. Zhang, Global wellposedness problem for the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations in an anisotropic space. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **287**(2009), 211–224.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310027, CHINA
E-mail address: dyf@zju.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310027, CHINA
E-mail address: zhangting79@zju.edu.cn

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR. SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS & HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430079, CHINA
E-mail address: rzz@mail.ccnu.edu.cn